April 2017

Unmet need for surgery in South Asia

Sanjay Nagral,
Jaslok Hospital and Research Centre, Mumbai, India

Maharra Hussain
Mediclinic Welcare Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

Sarder A. Nayeem
Japan Bangladesh Friendship Hospital (JBFH), Dhaka,

Ranjan Dias
University of Colombo, Lady Ridgeway Hospital for Children, Colombo

S. Ather Enam
Aga Khan University, ather.enam@aku.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_surg_neurosurg

Recommended Citation
Available at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_surg_neurosurg/90
Authors
Sanjay Nagral; Maharra Hussain; Sarder A. Nayeem; Ranjan Dias; S. Ather Enam; and Samiran Nundy
Unmet need for surgery in South Asia

Despite increased specialisation and technology, surgical care in the world’s most populous region is inequitable and remains inaccessible to most people, say Sanjay Nagral and colleagues

Surgical disorders are a substantial burden. Surgery is a cost effective method to return sick and injured people to health and work. The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery reports that nearly a third of the global burden of disease can be attributed to surgically treatable conditions. South Asia has the highest unmet surgical need in the world, and surgical care is out of reach for most people. This is because of the political economy of the region, which has produced an underfunded and inefficient public sector and a dominant private sector. Reforming surgical care is therefore a priority for the region.

In this article, we review the rapidly changing situation of surgical care in South Asia. Although recent high quality regional data on the surgical infrastructure, workforce, and outcomes are lacking, there are several cultural, financial and structural barriers that prevent access to surgery. We propose a course of action for the surgical community and governments of the region to improve the accessibility, equity, and quality of surgical care in South Asia.

Epidemiology of surgical disease
Surgical disease in South Asia is characterised by late presentation and coexisting malnutrition. Patients with cancer tend to present at a much later stage of the disease than in the developed world. The region also has a large burden of infectious diseases such as amoebic liver abscess, worm infestation, filariasis, and leprosy, many of which need surgery. Furthermore, non-communicable diseases and rapid urbanisation have increased the burden on surgical services. In the past few decades, there has been a rise in non-communicable diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and ischaemic heart disease. These may require surgery, but they also increase the risks of surgical procedures. A rapid increase in motor vehicles, unregulated traffic and poor safety measures have led to more road traffic injuries, which also may need surgery.

Surgical infrastructure in South Asia
Surgical care is characteristic of the inequity of healthcare in the region. The underfunded care available to poor people in public hospitals, especially in rural areas, contrasts starkly with the advanced, high tech care for wealthy people in private hospitals.

A national health facility survey in India showed a severe lack of resources at the first level referral hospitals: 39% of primary health centres did not have an operating room, 19% of district hospitals did not have a surgeon, 83% did not have an anaesthetist, 91% did not have a blood storage facility, and none met the criteria of well-resourced hospitals. The situation in urban areas, although marginally better, is still uneven.

This has undesirable consequences. A study from Pakistan estimated that there were 187 deaths per 100,000 persons per year from acute surgical illnesses, which was higher than deaths from infectious diseases, including tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, diarrhoeal disease, and childhood infections. Analysis of a subset from the Million Death Study in 2015 showed that people living in remote areas of India were often late in accessing care for routine emergencies like bowel perforation, which led to a large number of preventable deaths. For people injured in road traffic crashes, there is a lack of care at the incident, organised referral, and transport leading to a high number of deaths.

Mass surgical “camps”, where teams from urban centres perform surgery on large numbers of patients in remote areas at minimal or no costs, are a feature of surgical practice in South Asia. This model has been widely used for cataract surgery and sterilisation for population control. However, complications from performing operations in this way have occurred. Charitable and faith based organisations have helped bridge the gap in surgical care not covered by state agencies or private players. Such initiatives, although praiseworthy, cannot replace a strong, publicly funded system of care.

Increase in advanced surgery and medical tourism
In the past 10 years, there has been a substantial increase in surgery in the region, with more complicated procedures being performed with advanced technology. Large private hospitals with high tech equipment have attracted surgeons as well as the emerging middle class. Private hospital chains offering high quality healthcare have grown. These attract patients from overseas, especially the Middle East and Africa, and occasionally from Europe and North America, because the costs are far lower.

With a changing culture and lifestyle, cosmetic, bariatric, and arthroscopic surgery have grown considerably. In the absence of any regulations, supplier induced demand and unnecessary procedures have increased. For example, a cross-sectional analysis of data from Bangladesh, India, and Nepal showed higher rates of caesarean section in private healthcare facilities than in public ones, even when adjusted for pregnancy, delivery, maternal characteristics and year of delivery.

This uncontrolled growth is largely driven by market forces, and has worsened the uneven distribution and inequity of surgical care in the region. For those who can afford it, advanced surgery, such as cancer surgery, organ transplantation for organ failure and functional brain surgery, now offers hope for diseases that until recently had a very poor prognosis. This has, however, increased costs substantially. Most patients have no health insurance, and the cost of surgery is a major contributor to financial problems. Of concern is the lack of impetus to measure and report on the cost effectiveness of these complex surgical procedures.

Shortages in the surgical workforce
Specialised surgery has grown partly at the expense of basic general surgery. Surgeons are specialising in areas such as cardiac surgery and organ transplantation because they are well paid or glamorous compared with areas such as trauma care. New areas such
as oncosurgery, joint replacement, paediatric cardiac surgery, and vitreoretinal surgery have emerged. Given the well paid career opportunities in the private sector, it is unlikely that postgraduate surgeons will willingly work in underserved areas. The region also continues to lose surgeons through migration to Western countries and the Middle East.

The lack of a trained workforce has a greater effect on surgical care than on other fields of medicine. As governments subsidise medical education in the region, one solution that has been tried, with varying success, is requiring surgeons to work in underserved areas as part of their postgraduate training.

Quality and safety of surgery in South Asia

Quality and safety in surgery are low on the health agenda in South Asia even though there is now a global focus on surgical safety, enhanced recovery, and quality of life. No mechanism exists for regular audits of complications after common operations. Countries lack comprehensive national databases of surgical procedures and outcomes. A higher incidence of complications in routine procedures has been reported in South Asia than in the developed world. Surgical oncology data in India show poor survival rates in patients with cancer. The uncontrolled use of antibiotics in Asian countries has made hospital infection rates with multidrug resistant bacteria among the highest in the world.

Academic surgical departments are still largely in public hospitals, although training, especially in subspecialties, is increasingly being offered in private hospitals. However, there is no robust system to monitor uniformity and quality of training. Most postgraduate surgical programmes require students to do a research based thesis, but very few of these are published or affect surgical practice.

Bridging the gaps

The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery supports integration of surgical services in all basic universal health coverage plans with targets for 2030 of providing 80% coverage of essential surgical and anaesthesia services and 100% protection against catastrophic out-of-pocket expenditure. In South Asia, where healthcare is traditionally low on the political agenda, countries will have to make universal health care a priority in order to meet these targets.

In India, publicly financed health insurance schemes for surgical treatment have been introduced in some states. These have improved surgical coverage for poor people, although occasionally they have led to unnecessary procedures. Such mass insurance schemes can potentially improve and regulate standards of surgical care. For example, the Rajeev Gandhi Jeevan Jyothi Arogya Yojana scheme promotes the use of the WHO surgical safety checklist and does not pay for hysterectomies in the private sector.

Given limited resources, a major challenge is to provide evidence based and up-to-date surgical care while containing costs and ensuring access. We recommend creating locally appropriate and cost effective treatment procedures. For example, the use of costly diagnostic procedures such as computed tomography and positron emission tomography scans for staging cancers can be limited and the use of expensive devices like staplers avoided without compromising care. Innovative solutions such as reusing costly disposable equipment and using cheaper local alternatives like mosquito nets instead of synthetic meshes for hernia repairs must be studied and encouraged.

The use of graduates with a basic medical degree to provide surgical care should be considered. While the monopoly of postgraduate surgeons in delivering surgical care could be protected for specialised work, basic and emergency care could be opened up. Medical graduates and complementary medicine practitioners working in underserved areas can be trained to perform simple surgical procedures. Expansion of the surgical workforce by creating teams of trained support staff has been shown to improve care in settings with limited resources. Health workers without formal medical education provide much of the primary care in rural areas. Increasing their skills may help overcome the shortage of skilled staff to assist with basic surgery in underserved areas. Public-private partnerships have developed models of trained paramedics for emergency and trauma care and their services will be crucial to reduce deaths from road traffic or unintentional injuries.

International and regional collaborations

Generally, surgeons from South Asia have trained in developed countries, and surgical teams from the West have helped set up surgical units in the region. The Royal Colleges of Surgeons in the United Kingdom have strong academic ties with local bodies in South Asia. Using these international collaborations could help improve the quality of surgical training and practice in South Asia.

It is unlikely that countries in the region can offer substantial direct help to each other to reduce unmet surgical needs. However, countries should be encouraged to learn from successful models of accessible surgical care. Regional cooperation among the surgical community is informal and limited to meetings or training workshops. National surgical associations in the region largely focus on organising meetings for exchange of knowledge or surgical skills. A Surgical Care Society within the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation was established in 1996 and has organised conferences across the region. These societies have not tackled the lack of access to surgery as an issue. Their mandate must widen to identify common regional problems and create a joint platform for advocacy and action.

Conclusion

The combination of severe shortages and deficiencies in surgical care in poor areas and expensive and sometimes unnecessary surgery in the unregulated private sector presents two challenges for South Asia. Health advocacy and policy in the region have largely focused on areas like maternal and child health and infectious diseases. It is time the surgical community took the lead to highlight the imbalance in surgical care and the need for urgent state intervention. Importantly, the surgical community must recognise the public health importance of surgical care. Opportunities to train health workers in basic surgical procedures and trauma care must be explored. Attention to the global focus on outcomes and safety is essential.

In the South Asian culture, surgeons are prominent citizens and their associations have considerable influence with the state authorities. They should use this to push policy towards access and quality. A unified voice from regional surgical societies may help. We appeal to the surgical community of the region to recognise and take up this challenge so that the benefits of the extraordinary global advances in surgery reach those who need them the most.
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