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Paradigm Shift in Anti-Coagulating Patients with Non-Valvular Atrial Fibrillation

Bushra Moiz

Non-valvular atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most frequent cardiac arrhythmia in aging population. It was estimated that 2.3 million US adults had AF in 2001, extrapolated to 2.5-fold increase by 2050. AF produces turbulence of blood and endothelial injury in the left atrium (and its appendage) with consequential arterial thromboembolism. Clinically, the most significant event is the possibility of ischemic stroke (IS) as well as peripheral thrombi. Therefore, patients with AF irrespective of whether it is paroxysmal, persistent or permanent, require lifelong thromboprophylaxis. Anticoagulation reduces the risk of IS and other peripheral thrombi by approximately two-thirds of the baseline risks. Additionally, it not only decreases the severity of IS but it also decreases the 30-day mortality following stroke, if it does occur.

Given that anticoagulant therapy has both risks (principally bleeding) and benefits (reduced risk of thrombosis), many scoring systems have been described to estimate the risks of these outcomes. However, no single system is universally accepted or highly predictive. Gage et al. validated various classification schemes using clinical data of 1,733 patients, and concluded that CHADS2 scoring can predict the risk of IS in patients with AF. In CHADS2, 1 point is assigned each for the presence of congestive heart failure, hypertension, age 75 years or older, and diabetes mellitus; and 2 points for the history of stroke or transient ischemic attack. They reported that the risk of stroke per 100 patient-years (without anticoagulation) increased by 1.5-fold for each point increase in CHADS2. In 2010, CHADS2, was further refined to CHA2DS2-Vasc by adding additional risk factors like female gender (1 point) and vascular disease (1 point for history of myocardial infarction, peripheral artery disease) and assigning 2 points to age >75 years instead of one-point in original scheme. As anti-coagulating a patient will increase his bleeding risk; therefore, hemorrhagic risk assessment is also done. However, it is not clear that to what extent this scoring will affect decision-making during anti-coagulation of patients with AF. Apostolakis et al. compared various bleeding-risk prediction scores in AF and concluded that HAS-BLED score can estimate the risk of bleeding, particularly intracranial bleeding in patients taking anticoagulation. In HAS-BLED, one point each is assigned to hypertension, abnormal renal or hepatic function, stroke, bleeding, labile INR, elderly (>65 years) and drug or alcohol intake. Accordingly, an AF patient having a HAS-BLED score of ≥3 is at increased risk of bleeding. American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) guidelines recommend thrombo-prophylaxis with oral anticoagulation for patients with AF who are at high risk of stroke (CHADS2 ≥2), while those having lower risk need personalised approach for AF management. Similarly, guidelines are given by European Society of Cardiology, American College of Cardiology Foundation, and American Heart Association for anti-coagulating patients with AF.

Traditionally, oral vitamin K antagonist (VKA), e.g. warfarin, has been used to anti-coagulate patients with AF. However, this medication requires continuous laboratory monitoring to achieve a target of 2-3 of international normalised ratio, and has significant drug-drug, drug-disease and drug-food interaction. Moreover, intra-cerebral bleeding is the most dreadful complication of VKA toxicity. New oral anticoagulants (NOACs) revolutionise the prophylaxis and treatment of thrombotic disorders as they are taken in fixed doses, do not routinely require laboratory monitoring, and do not have drug-, food-, or disease interactions. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authority approved NOACs for thromboprophylaxis in AF including direct thrombin inhibitor (dabigatran in 2010) and anti-Xa inhibitors (rivaroxaban in 2011, apixaban in 2012 and edoxaban in 2015). A meta-analysis, comparing safety and efficacy of NOACs with warfarin in over 44,000 patients, reported that NOACs were more efficacious than warfarin in preventing stroke and systemic embolism, and have lower risk of intracranial bleeding in patients with AF. However, these results have been challenged recently because of lack of robustness in these clinical trials. In contrast to VKA that acts by inhibiting hepatic synthesis of vitamin K-dependent clotting factors (II, VII, IX and X), NOACs function by inhibiting active forms of clotting factors like IIa (by dabigatran) and Xa (by anti-Xa inhibitors). Bioavailability of NOACs ranges from 6% for...
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dabigatran to 80% for rivaroxaban and apixaban. Their peak action starts within 1-3 hours (in contrast to 48-72 hours for VKAs) and are dependent on renal clearance for elimination.

One of the barriers in using NOACs is high prescription cost when compared with VKA. Conversely, recent reports from European countries have shown cost effectiveness of NOACs with improvement in quality-adjusted life years. Secondly, a dose adjustment is required for patients with renal failure as NOACs are mainly dependent on renal function for their clearance. Thirdly, NOACs till very recently had no antidotes for bleeding or surgical patients who require immediate reversal of anticoagulation. Hence, such patients were managed with supportive care on similar lines as a patient who is bleeding secondary to VKA toxicity. Recently, FDA-approved direct reversal agents (idarucizumab for dabigatran and andexanet alfa for FXa inhibitors) have been launched that may be useful for fast reversal of NOACs as compared to previously used reversal agents. Finally, laboratory monitoring of NOACs is problematic. Though patients receiving NOACs are not routinely monitored, but laboratory testing becomes important when such a patient starts bleeding or requires surgery. Conventional prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) provide only qualitative assessment or limited information for NOACs. Therefore, while normal PT and APTT exclude the presence of clinically important drug effect, abnormal tests indicate that anticoagulation effect of NOACs is present. Thrombin time or diluted thrombin time for dabigatran and validated anti-Xa assay for rivaroxaban and apixaban can be used for monitoring.

Besides the limitations discussed above, patients with AF who were switched from VKA to NOACs reported more frequent bruising and depression/anxiety.

In Pakistan, we do not know the true quantum of disease burden as well as type and frequency of treatment received. An insight was provided by a report from Ikramullah et al., who reported anticoagulation in 205 patients with AF at two tertiary care academic institutes. Of 149 (73%) patients who were candidates of anticoagulation (according to risk stratification using CHA2DS2-Vasc), 27.5% received VKA or NOACs while remaining patients received either dual antplatelet agents (35%), single antplatelet agent (30%) or no treatment (7%).

This report does not describe details of NOACs prescription; however, it provides a glimpse of real life scenario in indigenous setting. There are patients-at-large in our communities who do not visit any doctor or die of IS secondary to AF before any medical help can be provided. The lack of local data necessitates large scale prospective studies for evaluating the true magnitude of AF, frequency of IS, type, compliance, cost effectiveness, and complications of anticoagulation as well as quality of life in patients having AF.

REFERENCES


