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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Hypoparathyroidism is a rare complication of iron overload in patients with transfusion dependent β thalassemia major (β–TM). We aim to determine the prevalence of parathyroid dysfunction in patients with β–TM.

Methods: Diagnosed cases of transfusion dependent β–TM between 5 and 17 years of age were recruited from outpatient clinics of a non-profit organization in Karachi, Pakistan. Blood and urine samples were collected in fasting to determine Ca, P, Alb, Mg, Cr 25OHD and iPTH. Patients were grouped on the basis of upper and lower levels of Ca, 25OHD and iPTH for assessing parathyroid dysfunction into primary hypoparathyroidism [low calcium (Ca) & intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH)], sub-clinical hypoparathyroidism [low-iPTH and 25 hydroxy vitamin D (25OHD), low/normal Ca], normal functioning parathyroid gland [normal Ca, iPTH and 25OHD] and secondary hyperparathyroidism [high iPTH, low/normal Ca and/or 25OHD]. Using PTH nomogram subject specific expected PTH (maxPTH) was calculated. Difference between maxPTH and measured iPTH was determined to assess the utility of nomogram in identifying parathyroid gland dysfunction. The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.

Results: Median age of patients was 11 years (13–7) with males being 54.2% (n = 205).

Based on Ca, 25OHD and iPTH, primary hypoparathyroidism was identified in 3.4% (n = 13) [median iPTH 11.3 pg/ml (12.6–7)], 52.3% (n = 192) had subclinical hypoparathyroidism [iPTH 40.4 pg/ml (52.7–28.7)], and 34% (n = 125) were identified as secondary hyperparathyroidism [iPTH 88.6 pg/ml (116–74.7)]. Normal response to Ca & 25OHD was present in all groups. Difference between maxPTH & iPTH was highest in primary hypoparathyroidism, followed by subclinical and secondary hyperparathyroidism. High phosphorous was present in all groups. Difference between maxPTH & iPTH was highest in primary hypoparathyroidism.

Conclusion: Nomogram by Harvey et al. identify low secretion capacity of parathyroid gland that correlated with biochemical classification of patients. It requires clinical validation before using in clinical practice for assessing parathyroid dysfunction.

1. Introduction

Parathyroid dysfunction notably hypoparathyroidism, is a rare complication of iron overload in patients with transfusion dependent β thalassemia major (β–TM) [1,2]. Manifestations of hypoparathyroidism include tetany, seizures, carpopedal spasms, and paresthesia, which may or may not be accompanied by hypocalcemia [3]. It is recommended to screen for parathyroid dysfunction periodically, when other iron overload associated complications occur, as ferritin levels do not provide a reliable index of parathyroid function [4].

The availability of different treatment modalities has increased quality and life expectancy of patients with thalassemia worldwide [5]. However, in Pakistan due to inadequate management; treatment related complications continue to add to morbidity in these patients.

In a cross sectional survey of patients with transfusion dependent β–TM, our group reported iron over load and delayed physical growth [6]. In addition, in the same group of patients altered bone and mineral homeostasis (as indicated by hypocalcemia, hyperphosphatemia, vitamin D deficiency and altered parathyroid hormone activity) was identified with high frequency of pain and fractures in 12.5% of...
patients (submitted for publication). Both high and low levels of intact Parathyroid hormone levels (iPTH) were noted. However, the interpretation of the iPTH levels to assess both primary and secondary hyper and hypo functioning of the gland can only be made in association with calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P).

With recently identified newer phenotypes of hyperparathyroidism including normocalcemic hyperparathyroidism (NCHPT), hypercalcemia with inappropriately normal iPTH and functional hyperparathyroidism (subjects who do not demonstrate secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) despite vitamin D deficiency); interpretation has become more challenging. Isolated levels of biochemical parameters do not provide an indication of the existing functional abnormality in the parathyroid gland in patients [7]. Identification of parathyroid gland dysfunction poses a major challenge for physicians engage in managing patients with β-TM.

Harvey et al. used mathematical modeling to develop a multi-dimensional nomogram to provide a biologically sensitive estimation of PTH taking serum Ca and 25 hydroxy vitamin D (25OHD) that physiologically regulate PTH release. Comparison of estimated PTH (maxPTH) from the nomogram with actually measured plasma iPTH level can assist a clinician in differentiating different parathyroid diseas. This is especially helpful in differentiating newer phenotypes, especially when they do not conform to an easy diagnostic category [8].

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of different types of parathyroid dysfunction in transfusion dependent β-TM using biochemical markers and assess the difference between measured and maxPTH using nomogram by Harvey et al. for its application in clinical practice. The anthropometric measurements and bone and mineral abnormalities have been described previously in these patients [6].

2. Methods

2.1. Study setting, patient recruitment and data collection

Study setting and patient recruitment has been described previously [6]. Briefly, 367 diagnosed cases of transfusion dependent β-TM between 5 and 17 years of age were recruited from the outpatient clinics of a non-profit organization providing free of cost blood components to the patients with various chronic blood disorder. Study was approved by ethical review committee of Aga Khan University Hospital. Blood samples were collected in fasting to analyze the following parameters: vitamin D (25OHD), calcium (Ca), intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH), phosphorus (P), magnesium (Mg), zinc (Zn), creatinine (Cr), albumin (Alb), SGPT, urinary Ca and Cr.

2.2. Classification of subjects into clinical groups

Subjects were categorized into clinical groups based on corrected calcium, iPTH and 25OHD as follows:

1. Primary hypoparathyroidism: patients with clinical and biochemical evidence of hypoparathyroidism including low Ca and iPTH below the reference interval irrespective of 25OHD status (Ca < 8.6 mg/dl, iPTH < 15 pg/ml).
2. Sub-clinical hypoparathyroidism: includes patients with low iPTH and 25OHD, low and normal Ca levels (Ca ≤8.6–10.2, iPTH 15–65 pg/ml, 25OHD < 20 ng/ml).
3. Patients with normal functioning parathyroid gland: Normal Ca and iPTH and optimal 25OHD (Ca 8.6–10.2, iPTH 15–65 pg/ml, 25OHD > 20 ng/ml).
4. Secondary hyperparathyroidism: include patients with high iPTH with either Ca and/or 25OHD deficiency (Ca < 8.6 mg/dl and/or 25OHD < 20 mg/dl, iPTH > 65 pg/ml)

2.3. Application of PTH nomogram to calculate difference between maxPTH and measured PTH to identify hypoparathyroidism

We calculated patient specific upper limit of normal PTH (maxPTH) using equation developed by Harvey et al. [120 - (6 × calcium) - (0.5 × 25OHD) + (0.25 × age)], in our patients [8]. This equation calculates the expected PTH for a specific subject on the basis of total Ca, 25OHD, and age measured on the same day.

Subject specific maxPTH was used to calculate the difference from measured PTH (iPTH) to identify patients with hypoparathyroidism/parathyroid gland dysfunction in each group. Taking allowable error of plasma PTH as 33.43%, difference between maxPTH & iPTH greater than allowable error was calculated. This work has been reported in line with the STROCSS criteria [9].

2.4. Data analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Data distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk test for normality. Frequency was generated for categorical and medians with interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables. Mann-Whitney U Test was performed to assess association of biochemical parameters amongst different clinical groups.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical Parameters</th>
<th>Median (Q3-Q1)</th>
<th>Hyper/Hypo Functioning States</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact-PTH (pg/ml)</td>
<td>52.7 (75.6-33.5)</td>
<td>Low &lt; 15</td>
<td>16 (4.4)</td>
<td>11.4 (12.68-7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal 15-65</td>
<td>224 (61)</td>
<td>41.2 (52.9-30.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High &gt; 65</td>
<td>127 (34.6)</td>
<td>88.6 (116-74.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (ng/ml)</td>
<td>13.09 (18.7-8.56)</td>
<td>Deficient &lt; 20</td>
<td>287 (78.2)</td>
<td>11.05 (14.5-7.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sufficient &gt; 20</td>
<td>80 (21.8)</td>
<td>25.59 (29.6-21.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Calcium (mg/dl)</td>
<td>8.8 (9.4-7.96)</td>
<td>Low &lt; 8.6</td>
<td>155 (42.2)</td>
<td>7.86 (8.21-7.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal 8.6–10.2</td>
<td>212 (57.8)</td>
<td>9.3 (9.62-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Phosphate (mg/dl)</td>
<td>5.1 (5.9-4.3)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>17 (4.6)</td>
<td>2.46 (2.68-2.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>216 (58.8)</td>
<td>4.69 (5.4-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>134 (36.5)</td>
<td>6.13 (7.5-7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Magnesium (mg/dl)</td>
<td>2.01 (2.18-1.84)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>52 (14.2)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.63-1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>205 (55.9)</td>
<td>1.96 (2-1.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>110 (29.97)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.44-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional Excretion of Calcium (FeCa) %</td>
<td>0.2 (0.5-0.1)</td>
<td>Low &lt; 1</td>
<td>334 (91)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.4-0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High &gt; 1</td>
<td>31 (8.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (2.1-1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as median (Q3-Q1), and frequency (percentages).
3. Results

3.1. Biochemical parameters of bone health in patients with transfusion dependent β-TM

Table 1 reflects, overall and hypofunction/hyperfunction and deficient/sufficient states as measured by low and high values for all analytes in 367 patients with transfusion dependent β-TM. Majority of the patients had vitamin D deficiency (78.2%), with only 21.9% having sufficient vitamin D levels.

3.2. Biochemical classification of patients

Table 2 shows the distribution of 367 patients, grouped into different groups based on their median Ca, 25OHD and iPTH blood levels with the median (IQR) levels of individual analytes in each clinical group.

Majority (51%) of the patients had sub-clinical hypoparathyroidism followed by secondary hyperparathyroidism (34%). Among the 16 patients with low iPTH levels (Table 1), 05 were normocalcemic with median 25OHD levels of 12.5 ng/ml, suggestive of hypoparathyroidism.

Median Mg levels were within range, while high median P was present in all groups with highest level in patients grouped as primary hypoparathyroidism followed by subclinical hypoparathyroidism and secondary hyperparathyroidism group. Of note, is the high median P in the group despite normal response of parathyroid gland.

Median 25OHD levels were deficient in all other groups, but despite a similar vitamin D deficient state the response of the gland shows progressive worsening of parathyroid glandular function with advancing age and ferritin levels as is reflected by iPTH, in Table 2 in different groups.

Limited number (10.6%, n = 39) with normal response of iPTH were identified to have normal levels of corrected Ca, Mg, iPTH, and 25OHD levels, but P levels were high in 7 of these patients.

3.3. Application of PTH nomogram by Harvey et al

Patient specific max PTH was calculated using age, Ca and 25OHD status using nomogram developed by Harvey et al. Table 3 compares the median iPTH measured in the laboratory with the median expected PTH (maxPTH) calculated by the nomogram. The table also shows the number of individuals with difference in calculated ‘maxPTH’ and measured ‘iPTH’ was greater than the allowable error for PTH in different groups.

Difference between maxPTH & iPTH was highest in primary hypoparathyroidism, followed by subclinical and secondary hyperparathyroidism. High values are predicted by nomogram for all three groups.

The median expected PTH in clinical group of secondary hyperparathyroidism at a given age, calcium and 25OHD is lower than the measured iPTH. Non-significant differences were observed in iPTH and maxPTH in the normally functioning gland.

4. Discussion

In this study, we identified 11 undiagnosed patients of hypoparathyroidism at median age of 13 years indicating need for earlier screening. The reason for this is inadequate chelation as is indicated by high ferritin. Most of thalassemia guidelines are from developed countries, where strict measures are taken to ensure adequate chelation, so they recommend screening for hypoparathyroidism from 16 years. In our data we observed that primary hypoparathyroidism developed from the age of 12 years (median 13 years), while average age of patients with subclinical hypoparathyroidism was 11 years. Most guidelines on thalassemia management recommend screening for hypoparathyroidism at 16 years by assessing Ca & P, followed by PTH if low Ca or P is found. We propose that in developing countries screening...
for parathyroid dysfunction should start from 9 years onwards. This is the first study, where we have use a unique classification for categorization of parathyroid disorders in a high risk population where the main cause of parathyroid gland deregulation is iron deposition. The varying biochemical manifestations require interpretation specific for each case. Simultaneous laboratory testing with relevant markers including Ca, P, iPTH and 25OHD is important for diagnosis, clinical correlation and interpretation especially in areas where vitamin D deficiency is endemic. Patients can easily be missed if the analytes are tested individually. We have previously reported usefulness of the simultaneous testing of markers using bone health screening panel in detecting newer phenotypes of primary hyperparathyroidism and validating by applying nomogram by Harvey et al. [7]. Further, in this study we have determined its utility in calculating expected parathyroid hormone levels to determine hypo functioning. We found obvious differences in the secretary capacity of parathyroid gland in the four groups for expected PTH.

Using upper and lower cut-offs of biomarkers we describe a phase that can be regarded as sub-clinical hypoparathyroidism or impending hypoparathyroidism where there is inappropriately normal PTH levels in response to either vitamin D deficiency or low Ca levels. This requires repeat testing to confirm for primary hypoparathyroidism as well as follow-up for monitoring. We also identified 5 cases in which Ca levels were normal with low PTH levels and vitamin D deficiency. A probable explanation could be intravenous infusion of calcium which is very frequently given to these patients when they present with tingling and/or twitching based on presumption that hypocalcemia is a cause without testing for calcium or PTH.

It is believed that parathyroid glands have large reserves. A biphasic chronological time course is described for hyperparathyroidism; in the first sub-clinical phase PTH levels are elevated but serum Ca is normal, and this phase remains sub-clinical because PTH levels are rarely measured with normal serum calcium concentration [10]. Third International Workshop on the Management of Asymptomatic Primary Hyperparathyroidism officially recognized and labeled this phase as Normocalcemic hyperparathyroidism in 2008 [11]. This phase is followed by the development of frank hypercalcemia and a symptomatic disorder with bone loss and kidney stones. Whether, the same time course exist for hypoparathyroidism, has not been established till to date. Cusano et al. reported prevalence of 1.1–1.9% normocalcemic hypoparathyroidism (NHYPO) in two unselected, non-referral community dwelling population from MRo and DHS [12]. NHYPO is not yet considered a real diagnostic category as data is not available. Sixty eight subjects were identified by Cusano with statistically significant differences in bone turnover markers (bone alkaline phosphatase and cTX) than in normal group. However, none developed overt hypoparathyroidism on follow-up and persistent disease was noted in only 2 out of 26 subjects.

Calcium phosphate product was > 55 in 15%, increase in fractional excretion of calcium calculated by [(urinary calcium/serum calcium) × (serum creatinine/urinary creatinine) × 100].

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parathyroid Gland Status</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Urinary FeCa</th>
<th>Serum Calcium × Serum Phosphate Product</th>
<th>Difference ≥ Allowable Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Hypoparathyroidism</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.37 (0.75–0.09)</td>
<td>Median (Q3-Q1) 53.3 (62–44.4)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subclinical Hypoparathyroidism</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0.003 (0.006–0.001)</td>
<td>19 42.9 (51.2–35.1)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Response for iPTH</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.27 (0.75–0.11)</td>
<td>5 45.5 (51.1–36.9)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Hyperparathyroidism</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.21 (0.44–0.09)</td>
<td>6 47.3 (53–41.2)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parathyroid Gland Status</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Urinary FeCa</th>
<th>Serum Calcium × Serum Phosphate Product</th>
<th>Difference ≥ Allowable Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Hypoparathyroidism</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.37 (0.75–0.09)</td>
<td>Median (Q3-Q1) 53.3 (62–44.4)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subclinical Hypoparathyroidism</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0.003 (0.006–0.001)</td>
<td>19 42.9 (51.2–35.1)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Response for iPTH</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.27 (0.75–0.11)</td>
<td>5 45.5 (51.1–36.9)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Hyperparathyroidism</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.21 (0.44–0.09)</td>
<td>6 47.3 (53–41.2)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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