



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

eCommons@AKU

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

Medical College, Pakistan

January 2000

Risk of imported filariasis in Pakistan

M A. Beg
Aga Khan University

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_pathol_microbiol



Part of the [Microbiology Commons](#), and the [Pathology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Beg, M. A. (2000). Risk of imported filariasis in Pakistan. *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association*, 50(1), 33-35.

Available at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_pathol_microbiol/991

Risk of Imported Filariasis in Pakistan

Mohammed Asim Beg (Department of Microbiology, The Aga Khan University, Karachi.)

Introduction

Human filariasis is a chronic and debilitating disease affecting over 120 million humans which causes extensive morbidity but little mortality¹. Clinical filariasis is widespread in the developing countries of Africa, Asia and South America. In particular four species are of importance:

Onchocerca volvolus, which causes onchocerciasis (river blindness), is transmitted by the blackfly *Simulium* and affects approximately 18 million people, about 300,000 of whom are blind; *Wuchereria bancrofti*, *Brugia malayi* and *B.tirnori*, all of which cause lymphatic filariasis, are transmitted by various species of mosquitos and affect approximately 90 million people in over 76 different countries². Other known filarial parasites of humans are *Loa loa*, *Acanthocheilium ozzardi*, *A.perstans* and *A.streptocerca*. Zoonotic infections may also occur from time to time e.g. *Dirofilaria immitis* and *B.pahangi*.

Adult filarial worms are vector borne, obligate extracellular parasites of their definitive hosts and belong to one of two families, the Filariidae and Onchocercidae, which comprise the superfamily Filarioidea of the phylum Nematoda³. In both cases microfilariae are ingested by blood-sucking vectors in which they moult twice to become infective larvae, these are transmitted to the new host when the insect bites again.

Filarial infection and in particular lymphatic filariasis has a wide spectrum of clinical disease that affects individuals of endemic regions⁴. The presentation is diverse with individuals having no clinical manifestations (asymptomatic) or microfilariae, patients being asymptomatic with microfilariae or those having bouts of filarial fevers or displaying gross lymphatic obstruction. At the extreme end of this clinical spectrum however lies the Tropical Pulmonary Eosinophilia (TPE) syndrome. This is characterized by lymphadenopathy, asthmatic bronchitis, hypereosinophilia and an increase in the production of antifilarial immunoglobulin antibodies⁵. Diagnosis of filariasis is important not only for the identification of infected individuals and their subsequent treatment but also as a tool for epidemiological mapping. This topic has been comprehensively covered by Taylor and Denham in their review⁶. Since then new antigen detection techniques have been developed which do not require night blood sampling and rely on serology, making epidemiological studies much easier⁷.

Disease status in Pakistan and Neighbouring countries

Lymphatic filariasis is unevenly distributed in the Southeast Asian Region but it is generally more a rural problem. Due to vastness of some of these countries and poor accessibility of many areas, coverage of filariasis surveys have been inadequate. Reports of the endemicity in many areas are hence underestimates of the actual situation. The situation in several countries including Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Thailand, Malaysia, Singapore, Indonesia and Philippines was reviewed by Mak⁸. This is a valuable reference as it gives a historical perspective on filarial infections in the region.

Geographically Pakistan is not surrounded by filaria endemic countries except India. China which had a filariasis problem, successfully initiated a national campaign against lymphatic filariasis in the late 1950's. Epidemiological surveillance since 1984 indicates that the transmission of infection has been interrupted using simple Diethylcarbamazine therapy and effective control has been achieved⁹⁻¹¹.

Lymphatic filariasis is a major health problem in India^{12,13} particularly in the southern regions. A study from a Bancroftian filariasis endemic area in Pondicherry¹⁴ discussed the infection dynamics and supported a central role for worm burden in the initiation and progressions of chronic filarial disease.

Functional impairment as a result of lymphatic filariasis in Tamil Nadu, South India¹⁵ showed that 66%

patients of filariasis confirmed that their lives had been adversely affected. Thus the economic effects and productivity loss of this disfiguring disease has been greatly underestimated.

A study in Pondicherry¹⁶ compared the time-end of prevalence and spectrum of manifestations of Bancroftian filariasis disease. The surveys conducted in 1957, 1986 and 1992 showed the overall prevalence of filarial disease as 4.7, 6.7 and 9.9% respectively showing that it was still a significant health problem.

The situation in Pakistan however is very different. Because of inadequate availability of information the actual prevalence of disease is not known. According to Ahmed¹⁷, lymphatic filariasis due to *W.bancrofti* and *B.malayi* did not exist in Pakistan prior to 1947 (partition from India), except for a few isolated cases. It was however endemic in former East Pakistan presently Bangladesh¹⁸. Results showed a mean microfilaria infection rate of 16.8% with clinical manifestations present in 10.1% of the sampled population. The endemicity rate was 24.2%, which suggested that filariasis was a significant public health problem in Thakurgaon region, Dinajpur District, of former East Pakistan. A ten year history of infection was a prerequisite for the clinical manifestations of filariasis to become apparent. Other workers¹⁹ also reported similar infection rates for this region and discussed the various spectra of filarial disease with relevance to urban and rural settings.

Entomological studies showed the principal vector for bancroftian filariasis is *Culex pipiens fatigans*²⁰ and there was a suggestion that the infective larvae were present in the mosquito for a specific time period, which correlated with optimum environmental conditions needed for transmission. This was an usual finding for a highly endemic area, according to the criteria set by Acton and Rao²¹. Pani et al²² stated that vector infection rate may be used as an indicator for rapid assessment of human infection. Geographically Pakistan is not surrounded by filaria endemic areas except India. However, during mass immigration from former East Pakistan in 1974, many immigrants from endemic areas settled in urban areas of Sind particularly Karachi. Furthermore, the rapidly changing political and economic conditions in the region and the continuous rural - urban drift of population to the major cities may have created a new focus of transmission. In addition *Culex quinquefasciatus*, the ubiquitous vector for bancroftian filariasis, is abundant in Pakistan. Southgate²³ has emphasized the importance of low-density microfilaraemia coupled with the ability of *Culex* spp. to transmit infection as a major risk factor for propagating filariasis.

Imported filariasis has been well documented and several studies have shown that individuals from endemic regions of filariasis pose a theoretical risk of transmission to the indigenous population.

Kirsch, et al²⁴ showed that immigrants to Germany had microfilaraemia; of the 1925 patients examined, 78(4.1%) were positive for microfilaria. The presence of *W.bancrofti*, *B.malayi* and *A.perstans* was confirmed. Similarly Yangco, Vincent et al²⁵ reported on a filariasis survey among Haitian immigrants and Southeast Asian refugees residing in Florida USA. Microfilariae were detected only in Haitians, with 6.7% positive for *W.bancrofti* and 1.3% positive for *Mansonella ozzardi*.

Recently, Omar²⁶ has confirmed bancroftian filariasis among South East Asian expatriate workers in Saudi Arabia, with microfilaraemia of 3.5% among Indian male workers. He also succeeded in transmitting the infection to laboratory bred *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes and this was the first report ever to show that local mosquitoes had the potential to act as vectors of bancroftian filariasis. Omar²⁶ also discussed the dangers of imported filariasis and more importantly the establishment of a self-sustained focus of disease which was likely to depend on the presence of microfilaraemic carriers and a susceptible population of vector mosquitoes.

A study of repatriated Biharis from former East Pakistan²⁷, now Bangladesh, showed that in a sample of 1,101 people above one year of age, 9.0% were infected with *W.bancrofti*. The infection rate was significantly higher in males 10.2%, than in females 6.7%. Most importantly the mosquitoes, *Culex pipiens fatigans* collected in the vicinity of the camps were positive for infective larvae and

transmission was observed in the hottest and driest months. Thus, favorable climatic conditions coupled with a constant source of microfilariae provided the perfect conditions for filarial transmission to occur. A brief filariasis survey conducted by Wolfe and Khan²⁸ also highlighted the obvious dangers of imported filariasis in Pakistan and recommended that further investigations were needed.

Are we at risk?

Filariasis is one of the most enigmatic helminthic infections of medical importance and presents a challenge to the profession. With significant migration of people in the last two decades, the foci of endemicity for filarial disease may have been modified greatly in Pakistan and therefore in this review we have attempted to highlight the need for reexamination and further study of filarial infection in the country. It would be very useful to get suitable information from hospital records which would give an approximate idea of the disease prevalence in the indigenous population.

Serological studies would be helpful in diagnosing the population actually exposed to the infection. Detailed questionnaires could be administered to the patients to assess whether infection was acquired locally or from endemic countries. We can then undertake in-depth epidemiological studies, encompassing both blood surveys as well as entomological investigations to determine the exact status of filariasis in Pakistan. The dangers of imported filariasis in thickly populated areas like Karachi need to be investigated to shed light on possible transmission patterns and host susceptibility to infection.

References

1. Nelson OS. Current concepts in parasitology. Filariasis N. *Lugl. J. Med.*. 1979;300:1136-12.
2. World Health Organization Tropical Diseases Research 1989- 1990. Geneva, W.H.O, 1991: pp. 1-135.
3. Yamaguti S. The nematodes of vertebrates. In : *Systema Helminthologica* vol.3 (Part 1 and II). New York and London, Interscience Publishers Inc, 1961, pp. 1261.
4. Ottesen EA. Immunopathology of lymphatic filariasis in man. *Springer Sem in. in Immunopathol.*, 1980;2:373-85.
5. Hussain R, Hamilton RG, Kumaraswami V, et al. IgE responses in human filariasis I. Quantification of filarial-specific IgE. *J. of immunol*, 1981; 127: 1623-29.
6. Taylor AER and Denham DA. Diagnosis of Filarial Infection. *Trop. Dis. Bull.*, 1992; 89:2.
7. Weil GJ., Lammic PJ and Weiss N. The ICT filariasis test: A rapid-format antigen test for diagnosis of Bancroftian filariasis. *Parasitol Today*, 1997;13:401-4.
8. Mak SW. Filariasis in Southeast Asia. *Ann. Acad. Med.*, 1981;10:112-19.
9. Cao W, Van-der-Ploeg. CP, Ran Z, et al. Success against lymphatic filariasis. *World Health Forum*, 1997; 18:17-20.
10. Chung-Kuo-Chi-Sheng-Chung-Hsueh-Yu-Chi et al. A great success in lymphatic filariasis control in China. National Technical Steering Group for Filariasis Control and Research, MOPH. 1995;13:88-85.
11. Zhang S., Cheng F and Webber R. A successful control programme for lymphatic filariasis in Hubei, China. *Trans-R-Soc-Trop-Med-Hyg*, 1994;88:510-20.
12. Sass M. Human filariasis a global survey of epidemiology and control. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press, 1976, pp. 663-734.
13. Rao CK, Shanmuga SP. Control of filariasis in India. *J. Commun. Dis.*, 1986; 18:276-82.
14. Chsn MS. Srividya A, Nonuan RA, et al. Epifil: A dynamic model of infection and disease in lymphatic filariasis. *Ama. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1998;59:606-14.
15. Ramaiah KD, Ktanan KN, Ramu K, et al. Functional impairment caused by lymphatic filariasis in rural areas of south India. *Trop Med and Health*, Sep; 1997;2:332-38.
16. Surenndran K, Pani SI, Sommarasnanane MB, et al. Natural history, trend of prevalence and spectrum of manifestations of Bancroftian filarial disease in Pondicherry (South India). *Acts. Trop.*, 196;61:9-18.

17. Ahmed SS. Filariasis in Sind. *J. Pak. Med. Assoc.*, 1971;21:167-72.
18. Bany C, Ahmed A, Khan AQ. Endemic filariasis in Thakurgaon, East Pakistan, *Ant. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1971;20:592-97.
19. Wolfe MS and Khan MA. Bancroftian filariasis in two villages in Dintmjpnr district, East Pakistan. I. Infections in man. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1972;21:22-29.
20. Khan MA and Wolfe MS. Bancroftian filariasis in two villages in Dinajpur District, East Pakistan. II. Entomological investigations. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1972;21:30-37.
21. Acton HW and Rao S. Factors which determine time differences in the types of lesions produced by *Filaria bancrofti* in India. *Indian Med. Gazette*. 1930;65:620-29.
22. Pant SP, Srividya A, Krishnamoorthy K, et al. Rapid assessment procedures (RAP) for lymphatic filariasis. *Natl. Med. J. India*, 1997;10:19-22.
23. Southgate BA. The significance of low density microfilariasis in the transmission of lymphatic filarial parasites. *Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1992;95:79-86.
24. Kirsh WD, Ruble F, Stein IL. Filariasis in foreign immigrants. *Z. Gesamte Inn. Med.*, 1985;40:720-22.
25. Yangco GG, Vincemmt AL, Vickery AC. et al. A survey of filariasis among refugees in South Florida. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1984;33:246-51.
26. Omar MS. A survey of bancroftian filariasis among South East Asian expatriate workers in Saudi Arabia. *Trop. Med. Int. Health*, 1996;1: 155-60.
27. Kham MA and Pervez SD, Imported filariasis in Pakistan. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1981;75:869-71.
28. Wolfe MS and Khao MA. Filariasis survey in Karachi. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1969;63:147-48.