

eCommons@AKU

Department of Paediatrics and Child Health

Division of Woman and Child Health

7-31-2019

Beyond sequencing: Re-visiting annotations for PJL as a test case

Waqasuddin Khan Aga Khan University, waqasuddin.khan@aku.edu

Aisha Ghani University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan

Muhammad Bilal Azmi Dow University of Health Sciences, Baba-E-Urdu Road, Karachi, Pakistan

Safina Abdul Razzak Aga Khan University, safina.razzak@aku.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/ pakistan_fhs_mc_women_childhealth_paediatr

Part of the Pathology Commons, and the Pediatrics Commons

Recommended Citation

Khan, W., Ghani, A., Azmi, M. B., Razzak, S. A. (2019). Beyond sequencing: Re-visiting annotations for PJL as a test case. *BMC Research Notes*, *12*(1), 467. **Available at:** https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_women_childhealth_paediatr/882

Open Access

Beyond sequencing: re-visiting annotations for PJL as a test case



Waqasuddin Khan^{1*}, Aisha Ghani¹, Muhammad Bilal Azmi² and Safina Abdul Razzak¹

Abstract

Objectives: Current developments in sequencing techniques have enabled rapid and high-throughput generation of sequence data. However, there is a growing gap between the generation of raw sequencing data and the extraction of meaningful biological information. Variant annotation is a crucial step in the analysis of genome sequencing data. Incorrect or incomplete annotations can cause researchers to dilute interesting variants in a pool of false positives. We require consistent, accurate and reliable annotation of variants for making diagnostic and treatment decisions. Current annotation depends on the set of transcripts, and software used can be managed, with sufficient care, in the research context. Careful thought needs to be given to the choice of transcript sets and software packages for variant annotation in sequencing studies. In this project, the main objective is to analyze the genetic variants observed in Pakistani population data within the 1000 genomes project (1KGP).

Results: We characterized only SNVs and InDels types of genetic variations, in total ~ 1.4 million variants. Besides this, we also annotated the genetic variants with multiple annotations tools, ANNOVAR and SnpEff and compared the differential results. Our population-specific catalogue will enhance future studies on the functional impact at protein level.

Keywords: Variants, SNVs, InDels, PJL, Population-genetics

Introduction

The phase3 of 1KGP [1] catalogues ~ 84.4 million genetic variations (frequencies of at least 1%) of 2504 individuals from 26 different world populations. However, many challenges still exist about the downstream analysis and interpretation of variants; most importantly researchers need to understand the functional consequences at the prime level of variant annotation. Pakistani sub-population (Punjabi in Lahore; PJL) data of South Asian (SAS) super-population represents genetic variation map of Pakistani origin. Careful variant annotation is a simple approach, usually seems to lead directly to the functional variants responsible for the differentiated phenotype or how it may affect the gene product if it occurs in the coding or non-sequence. In this study, efforts have been

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



devoted to analyze the genetic variants of PJL sub-population as only two male Pakistani genomes are properly annotated [2, 3]. This will not only increase the information regarding variant annotations but also examine the choice of annotation protocol for further downstream analysis. We restricted our scope here to single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions and deletions (InDels). At the start of this study, emphasis has been put forward to count the genetic variants, supported by multivariate analysis to develop a model representing the divergence at chromosome level. For annotating and classification of genetic variants, we compared the annotation results of ANNOVAR [4] and SnpEff [5] while using the ENSEMBL transcript sets (ensGene database of ANNOVAR). Beyond issues specific to these particular transcript sets and software tools, we performed classical whole-genome annotation, although problems are yet to be solved. Due to the lack of skilled Bioinformaticians/next-generation sequencing (NGS) data analyst in Pakistan, very few to none publications are observed regarding the usage of PJL data. Keeping this in view, our

© The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*}Correspondence: waqasuddin.khan@iccs.edu

¹ Jamil-ur-Rahman Center for Genome Research, Dr. Panjwani Center for Molecular Medicine and Drug Research, International Center

for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan

laboratory has decided to further analyze the PJL data, and also to remind the research community about the forgotten PJL data of 6th most populous country of the world. In future, the information generated by this work will be used to further explore the evolutionary history and genotype–phenotype variation relationship particular to this region of South Asia. Characterization of Pakistan-specific genetic variations could therefore significantly help in setting-up a reference/control panel that will contribute to the development of personalized medicine.

Main text

Methods

Retrieval of 1000 genomes project (1KGP) variant calls

Variant calls (final phase3 release) in the form of variant call format (*.vcf) files (version 4.2) were downloaded from the 1KGP website (ftp/mirror site: EBI FTP: ftp:// ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/; NCBI FTP: ftp:// ftp-trace.ncbi.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/. Perl API scripts of VCFtools (v0.1.11) [6] were used to subset the vcf files. Population-specific vcf files (PJL sub-population vcf files) were generated by extracting 96 PJL samples (or individual) IDs considering only those sites that have alternative alleles in the PJL samples and skip any other sites that are all REF allele in PJL samples. BCFtools stats (version 1.1+htslib-1.1, https://samtools.github.io/bcfto ols/bcftools.html) was used to count SNPs, InDels and ratio of Ts/Tv. SNPs densities were calculated in defined bins of 1 Mbs by SNPdensity output filtering statistics option of VCFtools.

Annotation of genomic variants

Selection of transcript set ENSEMBL (version 83, December 2015) transcript set provides genome resources for chordate genomes with a particular focus on human genome data. ENSEMBL makes available substantial and diverse transcript information, including the Consensus Coding Sequence (CCDS) [7, 8], Human and Vertebrate Analysis and Annotation (HAVANA) (https://www.sange r.ac.uk/research/projects/vertebrategenome/havana/), Vertebrate Genome Annotation (VEGA) (Wilming, et al. [9]), ENCODE data [10] and the GENCODE gene and transcript sets [11]. 204,940 transcripts in ENSEMBL version 83 were used for annotations.

Variant annotations Variant annotations were obtained using the software tool ANNOVAR (version 2015 Dec 14) and SnpEff (version 4.2 build 2015-12-15).

Annotations by ANNOVAR: ANNOVAR was used to functionally annotate genomic variants by two methods, (1) Gene-based annotation by ENSEMBL genes (ensGene) annotation database, and (2) Filter-based annotations, snp138, clinvar_20150629, cosmic68, cosmic70, 1000g2015aug_all annotation database were used. A broad interpretation of splicing regions was used for ANNOVAR annotations, so that all variants within six bases of an intron/exon boundary would fall into ANNO-VAR's splicing annotation category. ANNOVAR returns a single annotation for each variant. If there are several relevant transcripts for a particular variant, then ANNO-VAR will return the annotation with the most severe consequence according to its rules of precedence.

Annotations by SnpEff: Variant annotations were also obtained using SnpEff based on GRCH37.75. As SnpEff returns all possible annotations for each variant (given the transcripts present at each variant's location in the genome), we prioritized annotations by the consequence impact of the variant to make SnpEff annotation results directly comparable with those from ANNOVAR.

Statistical analysis and plotting were performed by different libraries loaded into R statistical package (version 3.2.1, https://www.r-project.org/).

Results

Although, 1KGP data is available at http://www.inter nationalgenome.org/ but we compiled everything at one place related to PJL so that researchers and non-scientific community do not need to search from the scratch. PJL sub-population data has a total of 158 individuals but not all of them have the same kind of analysis. Individuals can be grouped on the basis of analysis and data collection, even some individuals are not sequenced at all (Additional file 1). Genetic variants of sequenced individuals are analyzed (number of SNVs and InDels, SNPdensitites, the frequency with which they occur, substitution types and along with their counts, and Ts/Tv ratio) selected on the basis of low-coverage WGS released in phase3 (Additional file 2: Figures S2-S6). The SNP counts of PJL sub-population are further compared with the 1KGP SNP counts (for this analysis, 1KGP have all SNP counts except PJL sub-population (Additional file 2: Table S1 and Figures S7-S9).

We commenced our investigation with the use of multiple annotation software in order to evaluate the influence of each algorithm on the resulting annotations. Here, we compared the variant annotation results of PJL subpopulation as observed by ANNOVAR and SnpEff using the ENSEMBL transcript set (Additional file 2: Table S2). Primarily, we compared annotation terms categorized by both software. All exactly replicating categories are treated as individual affects, while particular categories in SnpEff are combined to compare against the broader ANNOVAR categories. We referred to an exact match when the annotations from two software are exactly equivalent. For example, both software annotate a variant as intronic or intergenic (Additional file 2: Table S3).

In total, 62,411 variants are annotated as exonic variants either by ANNOVAR or SnpEff (Additional file 2: Table S4). Of these, 23,678 (37.94%) variants are present in both tools. Interestingly, both annotation tools have good share of individual match rate (the number of annotated variants by either ANNOVAR, or SnpEff; could be said as private annotations), 61.5% for ANNOVAR and 98.64% for SnpEff. Intronic variants have the highest collective share of annotations (1,521,361) as identified by both tools. Almost all annotations found either in ANNOVAR or SnpEff have a higher concordance rate, 99.90% for ANNOVAR and 95.19% for SnpEff. Intergenic annotations also have the similar match rate, indicating the fact that both tools use similar approach to identify non-exonic variants. For splicing variants, 100% ANNO-VAR match rate is observed for common variants; however, only 10.84% of those splice variants are annotated by SnpEff. Since SnpEff can predict much broad sequence ontology effects of splice variants, the greater number of splice variants provide more information of these locations. Likewise, upstream and downstream variants show an identical trend to splice variants with an overall exact match of 6% for both tools. Considering all annotation categories, ANNOVAR and SnpEff show a substantial amount of disagreement in annotating genetic variants, even when using the same transcripts. A comprehensive analysis of the data suggests that splicing, upstream, downstream, and non-coding exonic variants are present at a negligible concurrence. Further in-depth analysis will focus on the exonic versus intronic and intergenic variants, since these occupy the largest quantities of identified variants within the dataset. As we are not discouraging the use of either ANNOVAR or SnpEff, but the representation of annotated variants highlighted the emphasis of awareness of researchers that needs to meet while analyzing the annotated data. Our comparisons may highlight these discrepancies to some extent. The Sequence Ontology Project [12] helps us to minimize the effect of apparent differences of variant definitions (splice variants), eventually could improve the annotations for clinical usage. As per our experience, annotated variant with at least two tools should be associated with genes expression databases, such as GTEx [13] when considering functional assay validation on potential candidate/ variants of interest. Variants with opposing, or missed annotations by one tool demands special handling [14].

Limitations

Common population-specific genetic variants that are implicated in numerous diseases are non-randomly distributed throughout the genome, and make up the majority of varying nucleotides within human genomes. Pakistan, being the third world country, has no Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) ever launched that successfully pinpoint the association of genetic variants with disease or disease-related phenotypes. The individuals of PJL sub-population are mostly anonymous with no associated phenotype or medical data. However, this can help researchers to some extent to quickly cull out relevant genetic patterns and identify variants that lead to particular disorders. Even though, this classification will not inform us which variant is responsible for the increased risk of a disease, it will provide us the set of annotated suspects. As 1KGP PJL dataset has samples only from one geographical location of Pakistan (Punjab) that does not necessarily represent only one ethnicity (Punjabi), more or less these annotated genetic variants are not truly representatives of rich cultural diversity of Pakistan. Recently, some projects are started to coming out (https://www.nature.com/articles/nature22034) that will pave the pathway to enrich the Pakistani population data.

Additional files

Additional file 1. Overview of the status of sequenced PJL samples, This file contains information regarding the sequencing, family data (trio statuses) and analysis of PJL samples.

Additional file 2. Analysis of PJL samples variants, This file includes the analytical description (with figures and tables) of PJL variants.

Abbreviations

PJL: Punjabi in Lahore; SAS: South Asia; SNVs: single nucleotide variants; InDels: insertions and deletions; ANNOVAR: annotate variation; SnpEff: SNP effect; NGS: next-generation sequencing; GWAS: genome-wide association study; WGS: whole genome sequencing; WES: whole exome sequencing; 1KGP: 1000 genomes project.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Dr. Ishtiaq A. Khan for his valuable time-to-time discussion during the preparation of this research note.

Authors' contributions

WK conceptualized and designed the study. Data analyzed by WK, AG, SAR and MBA. Data interpreted by WK, AG and were major contributor in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This project (0404-2015) is funded by the International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi-755270, Pakistan. Funder has no role in the designing of the study, data collection and manuscript writing. However, the analysis and interpretation of data is performed on FUJITSU server purchased from this grant.

Availability of data materials

The data described in this research note can be freely accessed as Additional files. The annotated VCF file is uploaded on figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.6031916).

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

 ¹ Jamil-ur-Rahman Center for Genome Research, Dr. Panjwani Center for Molecular Medicine and Drug Research, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan.
² Department of Biochemistry, Dow Medical College, Dow University of Health Sciences, Baba-E-Urdu Road, Karachi 74200, Pakistan.

Received: 12 October 2018 Accepted: 23 July 2019 Published online: 31 July 2019

References

- IGSR: The International Genome Sample Resource. 2008. http://www.inter nationalgenome.org/. Accessed 16 Feb 2016.
- Azim MK, Yang C, Yan Z, Choudhary MI, Khan A, Sun X, Li R, Asif H, Sharif S, Zhang Y. Complete genome sequencing and variant analysis of a Pakistani individual. J Hum Genet. 2013;58:622–6.
- Ilyas M, Kim JS, Cooper J, Shin YA, Kim HM, Cho YS, Hwang S, Kim H, Moon J, Chung O, Jun J, Rastogi A, Song S, Ko J, Manica A, Rahman Z, Husnain T, Bhak J. Whole genome sequencing of an ethnic Pathan (Pakhtun) from the north-west of Pakistan. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:e172.
- 4. Yang H, Wang K. Genomic variant annotation and prioritization with ANNOVAR and ANNOVAR. Nat Protoc. 2015;10:1556–66.
- Cingolani P, Platts A, le Wang L, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, Land SJ, Lu X, Ruden DM. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012;6:80–92.
- Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011;27:2156–8.
- Harte RA, Farrell CM, Loveland JE, Suner MM, Wilming L, Aken B, Barrell D, Frankish A, Wallin C, Searle S, Diekhans M, Harrow J, Pruitt KD. Tracking

and coordinating an international curation effort for the CCDS Project. Database (Oxford) 2012;2012:bas008.

- Pruitt KD, Harrow J, Harte RA, Wallin C, Diekhans M, Maglott DR, Searle S, Farrell CM, Loveland JE, Ruef BJ, Hart E, Suner MM, Landrum MJ, Aken B, Ayling S, Baertsch R, Fernandez-Banet J, Cherry JL, Curwen V, Dicuccio M, Kellis M, Lee J, Lin MF, Schuster M, Shkeda A, Amid C, Brown G, Dukhanina O, Frankish A, Hart J, Maidak BL, Mudge J, Murphy MR, Murphy T, Rajan J, Rajput B, Riddick LD, Snow C, Steward C, Webb D, Weber JA, Wilming L, Wu W, Birney E, Haussler D, Hubbard T, Ostell J, Durbin R, Lipman D. The consensus coding sequence (CCDS) project: identifying a common protein-coding gene set for the human and mouse genomes. Genome Res. 2009;19:1316–23.
- Ashurst JL, Chen CK, Gilbert JG, Jekosch K, Keenan S, Meidl P, Searle SM, Stalker J, Storey R, Trevanion S, Wilming L, Hubbard T. The vertebrate genome annotation (Vega) database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36:D753–60.
- Consortium, E.P. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature. 2012;489(7414):57–74.
- 11. Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, Tapanari E, Diekhans M, Kokocinski F, Aken BL, Barrell D, Zadissa A, Searle S, Barnes I, Bignell A, Boychenko V, Hunt T, Kay M, Mukherjee G, Rajan J, Despacio-Reyes G, Saunders G, Steward C, Harte R, Lin M, Howald C, Tanzer A, Derrien T, Chrast J, Walters N, Balasubramanian S, Pei B, Tress M, Rodriguez JM, Ezkurdia I, van Baren J, Brent M, Haussler D, Kellis M, Valencia A, Reymond A, Gerstein M, Guigó R, Hubbard TJ. GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. Genome Res. 2012;22:1760–74.
- Eilbeck K, Lewis S, Mungall C, Yandell M, Stein L, Durbin R, Ashburner M. The sequence ontology: a tool for the unification of genome annotations. Genome Biol. 2005;6:R44.
- The GTEx Consortium. The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project. Nat Genet. 2013;45:580–5.
- 14. McCarthy DJ, Humburg P, Kanapin A, Rivas MA, Gaulton K, The WGS500 Consortium, Cazier JB, Donnelly P. Choice of transcripts and software has a large effect on variant annotation. Genome Med. 2014;6:26.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

