

eCommons@AKU

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

Medical College, Pakistan

July 2018

Distribution of chromosomal abnormalities commonly observed in adult acute myeloid leukemia in Pakistan as predictors of prognosis

Muhammad Shariq Shaikh Aga Khan University, muhammad.shariq@aku.edu

Zeeshan Ansar Ahmed Aga Khan University, zeeshan.ansar@aku.edu

Mohammad Usman Shaikh Aga Khan University, mohammad.usman@aku.edu

Salman Naseem Adil Aga Khan University, salman.adil@aku.edu

Mohammad Khurshid Aga Khan University, mohammad.khurshid@aku.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/ pakistan fhs mc pathol microbiol



Part of the <u>Hematology Commons</u>, <u>Oncology Commons</u>, and the <u>Pathology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Shaikh, M. S., Ahmed, Z. A., Shaikh, M. U., Adil, S. N., Khurshid, M., Moatter, T., Rashid, A., Karim, F., Raheem, A., Ali, N. (2018). Distribution of chromosomal abnormalities commonly observed in adult acute myeloid leukemia in Pakistan as predictors of prognosis. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 19(7), 1903-1906.

Available at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_pathol_microbiol/745

Authors Muhammad Shariq Shaikh, Zeeshan Ansar Ahmed, Mohammad Usman Shaikh, Salman Naseem Adil, Mohammad Khurshid, Tariq Moatter, Anila Rashid, Farheen Karim, Ahmed Raheem, and Natasha Ali				

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Editorial Process: Submission:01/23/2018 Acceptance:05/03/2018

Distribution of Chromosomal Abnormalities Commonly Observed in Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia in Pakistan as Predictors of Prognosis

Muhammad Shariq Shaikh^{1*}, Zeeshan Ansar Ahmed¹, Mohammad Usman Shaikh¹, Salman Naseem Adil¹, Mohammad Khurshid², Tariq Moatter¹, Anila Rashid¹, Farheen Karim¹, Ahmed Raheem¹, Natasha Ali¹

Abstract

Objectives: The heterogenous response to treatment in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) can be attributed largely to the difference in cytogenetic features identified in between cases. Cytogenetic analysis in acute leukemia is now routinely used to assist patient management, particularly in terms of diagnosis, disease monitoring, prognosis and risk stratification. Knowing about cytogenetic profile at the time of diagnosis is important in order to take critical decisions in management of these patients. The study was conducted to determine the distribution of cytogenetic abnormalities in Pakistani adult patients with AML in order to have insights regarding behavior of the disease. Methods: A retrospective analysis of all the cases of AML (≥15 years old) diagnosed at Aga Khan University from January 2011 to December 2016 was performed. Cytogenetic analysis was made for all cases using the trypsin-Giemsa banding technique. Karyotypes were interpreted using the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN) criteria. Results: A total of 321 patients were diagnosed with AML during the study period, of which 288 samples successfully yielded metaphase chromosomes. The male to female ratio was 1.7:1. A normal karyotype was present in 61% (n=176) of the cases whereas, 39% (n=112) had an abnormal karyotype. Of the abnormal cases, t (8;21) (q22;q22) and t (15;17) (q22;q12) were identified in 8.3% and 4.9% cases respectively. Adverse prognostic cytogenetic subgroups including complex karyotype, monosomy 7 and t(6;9)(p23;q34) were identified in 9%, 1% and 0.7% patients respectively. Conclusions: This largest cytogenetic data in adult AML from Pakistan showed comparable prevalence of favorable prognostic karyotype to international data. The prevalence of specific adverse prognostic karyotype was low.

Keywords: AML- cytogenetics- G-banding- metaphase- adult- Pakistan

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 19 (7), 1903-1906

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a malignant disorder characterized by clonal expansion and accumulation of precursor myeloid cells with a reduced capacity to differentiate into more mature cellular elements. It can occur at all ages but has its peak incidence in the seventh decade. The heterogeneity of AML in terms of morphology, immunological phenotype, cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities is reflected in substantially different response to treatment between cases.

Treatment related mortality and resistance to standard chemotherapy are the two chief determinants of risks in AML (Estey, 2013). Diagnostic karyotype in AML predicts disease resistance and allows risk-stratified treatment approaches to be followed. Comprising of 11% of all cases,

"AML with recurrent genetic abnormalities" is a separate entity recognized by 2008 World Health Organization (WHO) classification (Vardiman et al., 2009). This definition has been retained in updated 2016 classification as well (Arber et al., 2016). It is the most influential independent prognostic factors in terms of treatment outcomes (Grimwade and Hills, 2009).

Amongst various familiar cytogenetic abnormalities in AML, t (15;17) (q22;q12) in patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), t (8;21) (q22;q22) and inv (16) (p13q22)/t (16;16) (p13;q22) have been consistently found associated with better outcomes. Cure rates up to 60-70% have been documented in several assessments (Zhu et al., 2013). Conversely, abnormalities of 3q (abn(3q)), deletions of 5q (del(5q)), monosomies of chromosome 5 and/or 7 (-5/-7), t (9;22) or complex karyotype (more than three unrelated changes) are

¹Section of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, ²Department of Oncology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Stadium Road, 74800, Karachi, Pakistan.*For Correspondence: muhammad.shariq@aku.edu

associated with very poor prognoses. In fact, monosomal karyotype invariably portents resistant disease even after allogeneic bone marrow transplant (Kayser et al., 2012). The prognosis of normal karyotype, the commonest cytogenetic feature in AML, is highly variable ranging from cure to highly refractory disease. The influence of underlying mutations on outcome of such cases is well known (Schlenk et al., 2008).

The cytogenetic data of Pakistani adults with AML is scarce. Literature review retrieved a couple of small studies addressing the cytogenetic profile of Pakistani AML patients (Harani et al., 2006; Aziz and Qureshi, 2008). The current study aimed in determining the distribution of chromosomal abnormalities in Pakistani adult patients with AML in order to have an insight about the behavior of this condition.

Materials and Methods

Study area and subjects

This was a cross-sectional analysis performed at Aga Khan University Hospital in the Sections of Hematology and Molecular Pathology. Using non-probability consecutive sampling technique, all patients diagnosed as AML who were ≥15 years of age from January 2011 to December 2016 were included in the analysis. Cases which didn't yield metaphase chromosome were excluded from the analysis.

Diagnosis

In all cases, the diagnosis of AML was confirmed by morphology and appropriate cytochemical staining. Immunophenotyping by either flow cytometry or immunohistochemistry was performed where possible by the use of standard methodologies.

Cytogenetic analysis

Analysis was performed on pretreatment bone marrow samples by the use of conventional G-banding techniques. Bone marrow samples were cultured using standard culture techniques followed by harvesting (incubation, centrifugation and addition of hypotonic solution). After addition of fixative (3:1 methanol to glacial acetic acid) and trypsin treatment, Giemsa staining was performed. Slides were examined under microscope and at least 20 mitosis were analyzed whenever possible.

Cytogenetic abnormalities

Chromosomal abnormalities were identified and described according to the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN 2009, 2013, 2016). Cytogenetic abnormalities were classified into balanced, unbalanced and complex abnormalities. Complex karyotype was defined as presence of three or more clonal chromosomal abnormalities in the absence of established chromosomal abnormalities. Based on WHO 2016 update, three cytogenetic risk groups were defined as favorable, intermediate and unfavorable (Arber et al., 2016).

Data analysis

Age, gender and types of cytogenetic abnormalities

were included for analysis and results were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Categorical variables were compared by the use of the Chi-square test or Fisher Exact test. Significance of mean age between two groups was calculated by Independent-Samples T-Test. A p-value of <0.05 was taken as significant.

Ethical issues

An ethical exemption to conduct this analysis was granted by the institutional ethical review board (3569-Pat-ERC-15). Written and informed consent was taken from all patients as per institutional policy before collecting bone marrow samples. Relevant counseling regarding prognostic impact of the detected abnormality was provided to all who followed up in outpatient department or in the wards during admissions.

Results

A total of 321 adults were diagnosed with AML during the study period. There were 201 males and 120 females (M:F=1.7:1). Thirty-three (10%) cases didn't yield metaphase chromosomes and were excluded from the analysis. Of successful 288 cases with cytogenetic results, a normal karyotype was identified in 176 (61.1%) patients and abnormal karyotype in 112 (38.9%) patients (Table 1). The most prevalent favorable chromosomal abnormality was t(8;21) (q22;q22), which was present in 24 (8.3%) of 288 patients. Translocation (15;17) (q22:q12) occurred in 14 (4.9%) and inv(16)(p13q22) was present in 2 (0.7%) patients. Poor cytogenetic abnormalities including t(6;9) (p23;q34), trisomy 8, monosomy 7 and complex karyotype collectively were identified in 38 (13.2%) patients (Table 1). Thirty four (11.8%) patients had miscellaneous chromosomal abnormalities including deletions, additions, inversions, other translocations and marker chromosomes. Forty-two percent (n=122) patients were under 30 years of age however, prevalence of favorable or unfavorable

Table 1. Karyotypic Features of 288 Patients with AML

Karyotype	n (%)
Normal	176 (61.1)
Abnormal	112 (38.9)
Balanced	50 (17.4)
t (8;21) (q22;q22.1)	24 (8.3)
t (15;17) (q22;q12)	14 (4.9)
inv (16) (p13.1q22)	2 (0.7)
t (6;9) (p23;q34.1)	2 (0.7)
Others	8 (2.8)
Unbalanced	36 (12.5)
Trisomy 8	7 (2.4)
Monosomy 7	3 (1)
Others	26 (9.0)
Complex	26 (9)

Numbers and percentages presented are out of total cases with successful cytogenetic results; †Three or more clonal chromosomal abnormalities in the absence of aforementioned established chromosomal abnormalities

Table 2. Age Wise Distribution of Karyotype in Various Prognostic Groups

_	5 51	- C			
	Prognostic Significance n (%)				
Age in Years	Favorable	Intermediate	Unfavorable	Total	
15-30	23 (18.9)	81 (66.4)	18 (14.8)	122 (42.4)	
31-45	11 (13.9)	61 (77.2)	7 (8.9)	79 (27.4)	
>45	6 (6.9)	74 (85.1)	7 (8)	87 (30.2)	
Total n (%)	40 (13.9)	216 (75)	32 (11.1)	288 (100)	

cytogenetic abnormalities was not significantly different in the various age groups (p=0.3) (Table 2).

Discussion

The prognosis in AML depends on both the clinical and cytogenetic/molecular features (Yunus et al., 2015; Zehra et al., 2016). Clinical features that predict the likelihood of achieving a complete remission and subsequent disease-free survival include: younger age, good performance status, absence of prior hematological disorder like myelodysplastic syndrome or myeloproliferative neoplasms, exposure to radiation or cytotoxic agents and other medical co-morbidities (Su et al., 2013). Karyotype is one of the main determinants of prognosis in AML and all patients must undergo cytogenetic analysis at the time of diagnosis. The updated 2016 WHO classification of hematological malignancies, continues to define AML by focusing on significant cytogenetic and molecular genetic subgroups. To the best of our knowledge, we have reported the largest cytogenetic data in Pakistani adults with AML.

Acute myeloid leukemia is around twice as common in males than females. Gender distribution in our analysis was comparable with published western data with a significantly higher proportion of males (p=0.007). The distribution of favorable and unfavorable cytogenetic abnormalities with respect to the gender was not significantly different (p=0.2).

Translocation (8;21)(q22;q22) and t(15;17)(q22:q12) constituted the only recurring abnormalities with a frequency above 3%. Both these abnormalities comprise 5-8% of AML (Byrd et al., 2002; Su et al., 2014). In our study, the former occurred at a frequency of 8.1% whereas the later at 4.9%. Other specific abnormalities such as t (6;9) (p23;q34) and inv (16) (p13q22) were seen less frequently. The cumulative prevalence of favorable cytogenetic abnormalities (13.9%) including t (8;21) (q22;q22), t (15;17) (q22;q12) and inv(16) (p13q22) was not significantly higher in the different age groups (p=0.3) (Table 2).

Complex karyotype emerged as the predominant unfavorable cytogenetic risk group in this study. The prevalence of monosomy 7, the only specific unfavorable abnormality in our study was very low (1%); the two large studies reported a frequency of around 7% (Byrd et al., 2002; Grimwade et al., 2010). Other unfavorable cytogenetic abnormalities including 3q (abn(3q)), deletions of 5q (del(5q)), monosomy 5 and t (9;22) were not identified in this analysis. Several other single chromosomal abnormalities were also identified but

were too infrequent to be analyzed separately.

Besides being the largest cytogenetic data in Pakistani adults with AML, other strength of this study is use of conventional cytogenetic method for karyotype determination. Conventional cytogenetic provides status of all chromosomes and hence, it identifies all the changes present in a karyotype. Nonetheless, a fraction of cases are liable to omission due to its inherent low sensitivity. More sophisticated methods like fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) have higher yields but target only specific lesion in question and therefore, information about other possible findings is not provided. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) technology has made a huge impact on prognostication and clinical diagnostics. It has expanded genes that cause malignancies and will soon replace the routine testing for single gene mutation. This will play a significant role in personalized medicine. The 2016 WHO update emphasizes significant impact of AML biomarkers on patient outcome. Next-Generation Sequencing will serve as a powerful tool for gaining deeper insights into leukemia stem cell phenotype, signaling pathways and function. This will provide the basis for more comprehensive knowledge of data bank that can serve as a valuable tool to advance individualized treatment approaches including more accurate assessment of minimal residual disease in AML.

In conclusion, this study showed recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities in 14.6% Pakistani adults with AML. Favorable karyotypes, t(8,21)(q22,q22) followed by t (15;17) (q22:q12) were identified as the most prevalent specific chromosomal abnormalities; the cumulative prevalence however was not significantly different in various age groups. The complex karyotype constituted the predominant unfavorable karyotype.

References

Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al (2016). The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. Blood, 127, 2391-405.

Aziz F, Qureshi IZ (2008). Clinical and cytogenetic analyses in Pakistani leukemia patients. Pak J Zool, 40, 147.

Byrd JC, Mrózek K, Dodge RK, et al (2002). Pretreatment cytogenetic abnormalities are predictive of induction success, cumulative incidence of relapse, and overall survival in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 8461). Blood, 100, 4325-36.

Estey EH (2013). Acute myeloid leukemia: 2013 update on risk-stratification and management. Am J Hematol, 88,

317-27.

- Grimwade D, Hills RK (2009). Independent prognostic factors for AML outcome. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program, 20, 385-95.
- Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, et al (2010). Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. Blood, 116, 354-65.
- Harani MS, Adil SN, Kakepoto GN, et al (2006). Significance of cytogenetic abnormalities in acute myeloid leukaemia. J Pak Med Assoc, 56, 9-13.
- Kayser S, Zucknick M, Döhner K, et al (2012). Monosomal karyotype in adult acute myeloid leukemia: prognostic impact and outcome after different treatment strategies. Blood, 119, 551-8.
- Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Krauter J, et al (2008). Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med, 358, 1909-18.
- Su L, Gao S-J, Tan Y-H, et al (2013). Associations between age, cytogenetics, FLT3-ITD, and marrow leukemia cells identified by flow cytometry. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, **14**, 5341-4.
- Su L, Li X, Gao S-J, et al (2014). Cytogenetic and genetic mutation features of de novo acute myeloid leukemia in elderly Chinese patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 15,
- Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, et al (2009). The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. Blood, 114, 937-51.
- Yunus NM, Johan MF, Al-Jamal HAN, et al (2015). Characterisation and clinical significance of FLT3-ITD and non-ITD in acute myeloid leukaemia patients in Kelantan, Northeast Peninsular Malaysia. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 16, 4869-72.
- Zehra S, Najam R, Farzana T, et al (2016). Outcomes of 1st remission induction chemotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia cytogenetic risk groups. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 17, 5251-6.
- Zhu H-H, Zhang X-H, Qin Y-Z, et al (2013). MRD-directed risk stratification treatment may improve outcomes of t (8;21) AML in the first complete remission: results from the AML05 multicenter trial. Blood, 121, 4056-62.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.