May 2017

Adaptation of the Recent Life Changes Questionnaire (RLCQ) to measure stressful life events in adults residing in an urban megapolis in Pakistan

Azmina Artani
Aga Khan University

Shireen Shehzad
Aga Khan University, shireen.shehzad@aku.edu

Iqbal Azam Syed
Aga Khan University, iqbal.azam@aku.edu

Moiz Abdul Sultan
Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan

Adeel Khoja
Aga Khan University, adeel.khoja@aku.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_med_neurol

Part of the Neurology Commons

Recommended Citation
Available at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_med_neurol/178
Adaptation of the Recent Life Changes Questionnaire (RLCQ) to measure stressful life events in adults residing in an urban megapolis in Pakistan

Azmina Artani1†, Shireen Shehzad Bhamani2, Iqbal Azam3, Moiz AbdulSultan4, Adeel Khoja1 and Ayeesha K. Kamal5,6*

Abstract

Background: Contextually relevant stressful life events are integral to the quantification of stress. None such measures have been adapted for the Pakistani population.

Methods: The RLCQ developed by Richard Rahe measures stress of an individual through recording the experience of life changing events. We used qualitative methodology in order to identify contextually relevant stressors in an open ended format, using serial in-depth interviews until thematic saturation of reported stressful life events was achieved. In our next phase of adaptation, our objective was to scale each item on the questionnaire, so as to weigh each of these identified events, in terms of severity of stress. This scaling exercise was performed on 200 random participants residing in the four communities of Karachi namely Kharadar, Dhorajee, Gulshan and Garden. For analysis of the scaled tool, exploratory factor analysis was used to inform structuring. Finally, to complete the process of adaption, content and face validity exercises were performed. Content validity by subject expert review and face validity was performed by translation and back translation of the adapted RLCQ. This yielded our final adapted tool.

Results: Stressful life events emerging from the qualitative phase of the study reflect daily life stressors arising from the unstable socio-political environment. Some such events were public harassment, robbery/theft, missed life opportunities due to nepotism, extortion and threats, being a victim of state sponsored brutality, lack of electricity, water, sanitation, fuel, destruction due to natural disasters and direct or media based exposure to suicide bombing in the city. Personal or societal based relevant stressors included male child preference, having an unmarried middle aged daughter, lack of empowerment and respect reported by females. The finally adapted RLCQ incorporated “Environmental Stress” as a new category.

Conclusion: The processes of qualitative methodology, in depth interview, community based scaling and face and content validity yielded an adapted RLCQ that represents contextually relevant life stress for adults residing in urban Pakistan.
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Background

Stress is defined as the loss of equipoise between evolving changes in an individual’s life and their capacity to adapt [1]. Although stress is a common phenomenon, its extent varies among people based on their perceptions and availability of appropriate coping strategies [2].

Assessing the burden of stress is not an easy task due to its subjectivity. As stress translates into many common mental disorders, the prevalence of mental disorders can serve as a relatively accurate measure for evaluating the effects of stress. Chronic stress may ultimately become the tipping point of common mental disorders such as depression, anxiety, mood disorders and suicide [3].

The Global Burden of Disease Survey conducted by World Health Organization (WHO) reports psychiatric illnesses along with stress-related disorders to be the second leading cause of disabilities by the year 2020 [4]. In 2010, depressive disorders had affected 40% of world’s population [5]. In Pakistan, studies from both rural and urban areas report prevalence of depression from 3.4% to 40% [6, 7].

Studies from Pakistan have reported tools that have been developed or adapted, translated and validated to measure stress [8]. These tools have been constructed for a specific condition e.g. anxiety or depression or for specific population for e.g. antenatal clinics, in-patient and out-patient psychiatric settings, adolescents and teachers (Table 1) [8–16]. There is a need for a generalizable tool to be used in community settings that can measure stress in the general population.

An important mediator of stress is the occurrence of repeated Stressful Life Events in the domains of home, health, work, environment and personal and social life. Rahe and Holmes developed a tool using these events so as to measure stress which is known as “Social Readjustment and Rating Scale (SRRS)”. However, SRRS had a limited item range which was reviewed periodically by these researchers and led to the development of another tool namely “Recent Life Changes Questionnaire (RLCQ)” in 1997 [17, 18]. RLCQ measures stress through life changing events occurring during a specified time frame (6 months or a year) and measures its consequences on health of an individual.

Pakistan is a developing country where daily life stressors have a major influence on mental health of people and no tools are available that measure stress in the general population in a relevant and contextually appropriate fashion. Hence, the aim of this study is to report the process of adaptation of the RLCQ with respect to the general population of urban Pakistan where the adapted tool becomes accurate and relevant.

Methods

Study design and setting

The study incorporated qualitative methodology following COREQ guidelines [19]. First, it followed a phenomenology study design as we aimed to explore stressors relevant to Pakistani context. We conducted serial in-depth interviews along with translating the tool and seeking expert’s opinion [19]. This was followed by community based scoring of each stressful item on the adapted tool (Fig. 1).

Karachi best represents the multi-ethnicity of Pakistan due to its metropolitan nature and extreme diversity and thus was the ideal site for this study for reasons of external validity.

Populations for in-depth interviews and rating

Participants were recruited from the outpatient departments of Aga Khan University, Hospital (AKUH) which serves people from diverse socio-cultural backgrounds who visit for regular health checkups e.g. vaccination, eye checkups, employee assessment. They were invited and consented for in-depth interviews for theme identification of stressors that may not have been listed in the original RLCQ due to cultural differences.

Following these open ended in-depth interviews and theme identification, we proceeded to community scaling. From February to March 2015, we recruited adult participants from four communities in Karachi namely Kharadar, Dhorajee, Gulshan and Garden. These communities represent the various ethnic groups within Pakistan and ensure a better generalization (Fig. 2). Those who could understand Urdu and were willing to participate were invited to be part of the study however; individuals who had been taking medications prescribed for existing mental conditions were excluded because the use of medication may have altered their perception of severity of daily life stressors. These communities helped rate the scale of stressful life events that were identified in the open ended qualitative part of the study.

Study procedures

Step I: In-depth interviews and adaptation steps

Qualitative interviews began in December 2014 from men and women aged ≥18 years who were able to understand Urdu and provided written informed consent. We excluded those participants who had cognitive, hearing or speech difficulties due to strokes or other organic impairments. There was no direct personal relationship with the participants prior to study commencement. However, the community trusts and has a good relationship with the institution. The purpose of these interviews was to explore the understanding of stress and to gain insight into stressful life events at the
community level. Respondents were purposefully identified based on their willingness to give us an extended length of time and to ensure participation of a diverse population such as representativeness of both males and females and of different sociocultural backgrounds. Participants were approached face to face and were informed about the researcher’s credentials, personal goals and the purpose of the study while obtaining informed consent.

AA conducted all the interviews; she is a qualified nurse and has training experience in qualitative research methods courses as an academic program. In addition she is a Clinical Research Fellow and Masters in Epidemiology and Biostatistics.

From initial recruitment, we continued to interview participants until same stressful events were being reported and no new stressful event was discussed with the interviewer. Sample size was based on the data

Table 1 Validated Stress Measurement Scales in Pakistan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Validated against</th>
<th>Year (sample size)</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Health Questionnaire [GHQ-28] [1]</td>
<td>Translation and Validation</td>
<td>Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) by Zigmond (1983)</td>
<td>1998 (162) Karachi</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient r = 0.62 Cronbach’s α = 0.90</td>
<td>Medical and nursing students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s stress inventory [TSI] [10]</td>
<td>Translation and adaptation</td>
<td>Original TSI by Fimian (1984)</td>
<td>2003 (120) Rawalpindi, Islamabad and Chakwal</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>Teachers only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aga Khan University Anxiety and Depression Scale [AKUADS] [3]</td>
<td>Development and validation</td>
<td>Psychiatric evaluation based on DSM-IV criteria</td>
<td>2005 (200) Hyderabad</td>
<td>ROC area under the curve = 0.73 Cronbach’s α = 0.87</td>
<td>Pregnant women only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do I feel questionnaire [11]</td>
<td>Translation and validation</td>
<td>Psychiatric evaluation based on DSM-IV criteria</td>
<td>2005 (200) Hyderabad</td>
<td>ROC area under the curve = 0.74 Cronbach’s α = 0.92</td>
<td>Pregnant women only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Pakistan Anxiety and Depression Scale [PADQ] [12]</td>
<td>Development and validation</td>
<td>Psychiatric evaluation based on DSM-IV</td>
<td>2005 (330) Lahore and Peshawar</td>
<td>Sensitivity = 91% Specificity = 94% Cronbach’s α = 0.92</td>
<td>In-patient and outpatient psychiatry patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Health Questionnaire [PHQ] [13]</td>
<td>Translation and validation in community setting</td>
<td>Computer mediated interviews based on Psychiatric Assessment Schedule</td>
<td>2006 (267) Islamabad</td>
<td>Sensitivity = 78.9% Specificity = 85.2%</td>
<td>Validated for the construct of depression only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Reporting Questionnaire [SRQ] [13]</td>
<td>Translation and validation in community setting</td>
<td>Psychiatric Assessment Schedule (PAS)</td>
<td>2006 (267) Islamabad</td>
<td>Sensitivity = 93.1% Specificity = 80.8%</td>
<td>Validated for the construct of depression only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Z Stress Scale [14]</td>
<td>Development and validation</td>
<td>Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)</td>
<td>2009 (421) Karachi</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient r = 0.56 Cronbach’s α = 0.82 (one week)</td>
<td>Pregnant women only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression Scale for Adolescent Schoolgirls [DSAG] [15]</td>
<td>Development and validation</td>
<td>Children Depression Inventory (CDI) by Kovacs (2007)</td>
<td>2010 (587) Lahore</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient r = 0.68 Cronbach’s α = 0.88</td>
<td>Adolescent schoolgirls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Children Problem Scale [SCPS] [16]</td>
<td>Development and validation</td>
<td>Youth Self Report (YSR)</td>
<td>2011 (853) Lahore</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient r = 0.7 Cronbach’s α = 0.79 (one week)</td>
<td>School children only (8th – 10th graders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Stressor Scale [DSS] [25]</td>
<td>Development and validation</td>
<td>Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) by Cohen (1983)</td>
<td>2012 (264) Pakistan</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient r = 0.46</td>
<td>University teachers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
saturation. We continued to interview participants until 20 participants were interviewed and theme saturation was achieved. The interviews were conducted in separate rooms that were identified at each outpatient clinic. To ensure privacy of the participants, entry into the room was restricted to the interviewer and the participant only. People accompanying participants were accommodated in the waiting area of the clinic. A semi-structured interview guide was prepared for these interviews exploring stress phenomenon and inquiring about life events that predisposes participants to stress with open ended questions and appropriate prompts. This was pre-tested in the outpatient clinics where actual sample was to be conducted. Also, the original RLCQ was shared with the participants towards the end of the interview to reflect at the listed stressors. They were encouraged to express their views over events they thought were not stressful within the context of Pakistani community which were later incorporated in the first draft. Interviews were conducted once only and participants were encouraged to share with us as much as possible with the help of prompts. Audio recording was performed. Patient identity was kept confidential. Field notes were taken during interview and were reviewed with transcription. While concluding each interview, major points shared during the interview were summarized with the participants.

Transcriptions were done of these interviews and scripts were reviewed by the first authors along with its coding. These were discussed with all the research team who reached consensus with regards to data saturation and which culminated our first draft of the adapted RLCQ (Additional file 1). It comprised of newly identified stressful events along with removal of those that were perceived as not stressful. Translation and back translation of the tool was done to ensure face validity. Later, it was sent to experts for review which included a sociologist, a psychologist and two individuals belonging to the public health domain. The experts were identified based on formal completion of post-graduate education along with greater than 10 years of local experience in the field of stress and community work in the area. Qualitative research experts also reviewed the content and layout of the work. They provided written feedback on the language, understanding, content representativeness with respect to the construct and duration for completion which culminated our second draft. This draft was pre-tested in the communities which we planned to approach for scoring items on the questionnaire (Fig. 1).

Step II: Scoring of items on adapted RLCQ by communities
For each item on the adapted RLCQ, we needed a score that could represent the magnitude of stress perception numerically. Hence for scoring, we required 200 participants. The sample size was based on the recommendation by Kline as a requirement of principal component analysis that we were to apply for tool development [20].
As we had 83 events on the adapted RLCQ, item responders’ ratio of 1:2 was suggested. Systematic random sampling technique was used in each selected area. Households were selected based on the $k^{th}$ number that was determined from estimated population of these areas. As these communities were equally dense, we chose every eighth house based on $k^{th}$ number. One participant was chosen at random from each household fulfilling the entry criteria. These participants were not the same from whom in-depth interviews were conducted.

Participants were asked to rate each life event in the draft on a continuum of 0 to 100 where 0 represented no stress and 100 represented maximum stress that can be tolerated.

**Human subjects approvals and registration**
Ethical approval was sought from Ethical Review Committee, Aga Khan University which granted permission on 14th October 2014 with study registration ID as 3235-CHS-ERC-14. The study was registered as an observational study at Clinicaltrials.gov with the study ID NCT02356263.

**Statistical analysis plan**
For statistical analysis, STATA version 12 was used. We calculated means, medians and modes for the scores of all the events in the questionnaire. We kept mean as the score of an event. In case of events in which rated mean was different from median with ±5 points, we kept median as the score of those events. We applied exploratory factor analysis on the entire data so as to classify events in the adapted questionnaire into categories reflecting similar notion.

**Results**
**Step I results: Qualitative stressful life events: Themes identified**
We interviewed 20 participants, equal gender with equal number of men and women with an average age of 48 years (SD = 5.6 years). 12 individuals refused to participate as they had time constraints. However, those
who gave consent continued the entire interview and there were no drop outs. The mean duration of these interviews was of 57 min. Transcriptions were read multiple times by the first authors and were verified for correctness with the audio recordings. Notes were made over experiences shared by the participants. Content analysis of the data began by identifying key words and phrases from the text and segregating it into smaller units. Upon further readings, the units indicating similar experiences were coded in all the transcripts. These codes were discussed by the research team and with agreement of all, were categorized and grouped into sub-themes. Out of these sub-themes, broader themes were extracted that became the newly identified stressful life events which with final consensus of the research team were included in the adapted RLCQ. Table 2 describes the sub-themes and themes that were derived from the data along with participant’s quotes. Transcription was typed in MS word 2010. Extraction of codes and revision were first done manually then updated electronically. These themes are in congruence with actual data. The qualitative interviews conducted from participants revealed a range of stressful events that stem from the socio-political environment within Pakistan. These events described difficulties that residents face in their daily lives such as lack of power, fuel and sanitation. In addition, social beliefs and values were causes of chronic stress such as having an unmarried middle aged daughter, prejudices of bearing a male child, harassment in public and lack of women empowerment within homes and at work. There were references to the political environment such as state sponsored brutality, missing job opportunity because of nepotism and lack of meritocracy, extortion of money by force and suicide bombings (Table 2).

Conversely, there was unanimous consensus among the participants to remove events such as promotion, lesser work responsibility, vacation, major increase in income, moderate purchase, major dental work, birth of a grandchild and major personal achievement. These events were regarded as “fortunate events” rather than stressful. Most participants felt that they did not have a place in the stressful life event category.

**Step II results: Categorization of events of varying stress severity by communities**

The original categories of the RLCQ focus on family, personal, social, health and financial circumstances of life. It did not have a structure to take these newly identified events into their existing framework as it has no representative category that could classify environment related life stressors. We tried to do an exploratory factor analysis where each event was dealt independently so that new possible categories could be formed for the structure of adapted RLCQ. Keeping a cut-off value of ≥10f Eigen values as selection criteria of factors, we assessed 10 emerging possibilities in which all the events on the adapted draft had been grouped.

When all the events were arranged into their respective categories, they appeared to have lost the meaning of the stressors as a group because they were non-representative with each other and seemed jumbled up. Hence, we decided to place all the events in the same order of groups as it was in the original RLCQ and introduced another group of stressors having all the new events calling it as “environmental factors” (Table 3).

Out of 83, 23 events required median as event weight age whereas for others, means were closer to the median and hence we kept mean as their event weightage. At the end of this phase, we had our adapted RLCQ which was enriched with contextually relevant stressors through qualitative exploration, content validation via experts and face validity. Also, it depicts community’s perception upon severity of each event as the scores for the adapted tool were derived by community participation.

**Discussion**

The adapted RLCQ enumerates stressful life events that reflect stressors within an urban population of a multi-ethnic city in a Low-Middle Income Country (LMIC). These events influence daily life of individuals residing in these countries such as lack of basic living facilities, gender inequality, harassment and lack of social security and were different from the original RLCQ, hence a new category of ‘environment’ was formed to incorporate these events. Moreover, the relevant communities were involved in the entire process of rating which clarified the impact of these events in people’s life.

Stressful life events for adults living in Pakistan differ from developed countries because of poverty, lawlessness and political instability. The events of our adapted RLCQ are in congruence with those that were concluded in other adaptation studies of low middle income countries [21]. It depicts that theft, lack of basic living facilities, natural disasters, social discrimination and an insecure living environment prevails in these countries and hence has been included by them in the list [22, 23]. However, being a victim of suicide bombing and state sponsored brutalities has never been highlighted in any of the studies prior to our findings. These events are important mediators of population mental health and should be part of the systematic review of health within LMIC settings.

The adapted RLCQ is a simple tool and can be administered by community health workers. It was derived from qualitative exploration following COREQ guidelines that ensure rigor within this methodology. The adapted RLCQ mirrors stressful events in context of
Table 2: Themes and sub-themes describing stressful life events of urban adult population of Karachi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Sub-themes</th>
<th>Participant’s Quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Subjected to harassment in public | • Hooting by boys in marketplaces.  
• Touching young females while crossing by.  
• Bikers speeding up towards ladies at bus stand.  
• Man has touched you in public, deliberately.  
• “It is very traumatizing when one realizes that a man has touched you in public, deliberately”  
• “Bikers scare us leaving unforgettable memories when they come at full speed and cross by us in public and hooting remarks on us” | • P [1]: “It is very traumatizing when one realizes that a man has touched you in public, deliberately”  
• P [16]: “Bikers scare us leaving unforgettable memories when they come at full speed and cross by us in public and hooting remarks on us” |
| Male child preference | • Giving boys freedom to go anywhere and come at whatever time without questioning at home.  
• Liberty to boys to go out alone.  
• Females are instructed to speak in lower voices which males aren’t.  
• “At home, I am usually asked where I was if I stay out of the home after sunset for giving tuitions, but never from my brother. It’s a persistent stress and threat to individuality”  
• “I can’t scream even when I am in pain. I have been taught since childhood ‘girls don’t shout’. I feel inferior” | • P [5]: “At home, I am usually asked where I was if I stay out of the home after sunset for giving tuitions, but never from my brother. It’s a persistent stress and threat to individuality”  
• P [7]: “I can’t scream even when I am in pain. I have been taught since childhood ‘girls don’t shout’. I feel inferior” |
| Unmarried middle aged daughter | • Due to cultural issues, worried about letting a daughter marry who is above 25 years of age.  
• Future insecurities about unmarried daughter while having daughter in law at home.  
• Monetary support for unmarried daughters while parents are retired.  
• Wondering about security issues of unmarried daughters of elderly parents after them.  
• “I feel anxious thinking about what my daughter’s life will be if we aren’t alive. She’s already 25, I have become obsessive in thinking how can I find my daughter a match”  
• “In our society, it is difficult for lonely girls to survive. Parents retire, brothers marry and sister in laws are unpredictable. If one won’t marry, one will have to be dependent on their mood and privacy to talk to brothers” | • P [17]: “I feel anxious thinking about what my daughter’s life will be if we aren’t alive. She’s already 25, I have become obsessive in thinking how can I find my daughter a match”  
• P [1]: “In our society, it is difficult for lonely girls to survive. Parents retire, brothers marry and sister in laws are unpredictable. If one won’t marry, one will have to be dependent on their mood and privacy to talk to brothers” |
| Being a mother of an infant(s) | • Due to cultural issues, worried about letting a daughter marry who is above 25 years of age.  
• Future insecurities about unmarried daughter while having daughter in law at home.  
• Monetary support for unmarried daughters while parents are retired.  
• Wondering about security issues of unmarried daughters of elderly parents after them.  
• “I feel anxious thinking about what my daughter’s life will be if we aren’t alive. She’s already 25, I have become obsessive in thinking how can I find my daughter a match”  
• “In our society, it is difficult for lonely girls to survive. Parents retire, brothers marry and sister in laws are unpredictable. If one won’t marry, one will have to be dependent on their mood and privacy to talk to brothers” | • P [17]: “I feel anxious thinking about what my daughter’s life will be if we aren’t alive. She’s already 25, I have become obsessive in thinking how can I find my daughter a match”  
• P [1]: “In our society, it is difficult for lonely girls to survive. Parents retire, brothers marry and sister in laws are unpredictable. If one won’t marry, one will have to be dependent on their mood and privacy to talk to brothers” |
| Being a mother of an infant(s) | • Difficulty in maintaining work and home responsibilities with infant.  
• Disturbed routine of self and added responsibilities of the infant.  
• Focus of family is the infant and mother feels neglected.  
• “Life with children is tough for working women. We have to meet expectations everywhere be it home, office, neighborhood”  
• “I stay awake all night. I can’t have rest in the day too as I have a lot of things to handle singlehandedly. My husband thinks it is only a mother’s responsibility to take care of the kid. He is only for breadwinning” | • P [9]: “Life with children is tough for working women. We have to meet expectations everywhere be it home, office, neighborhood”  
• P [11]: “I stay awake all night. I can’t have rest in the day too as I have a lot of things to handle singlehandedly. My husband thinks it is only a mother’s responsibility to take care of the kid. He is only for breadwinning” |
| Robbed/theft | • Mobile snatching on the streets.  
• Robbery at home and in banks.  
• Theft in public transportation such as buses and threats to kill if not surrendered.  
• “I never use my mobile phone when I am in a less busy street. I will be caught by snatchers”  
• “Even in public bus, I had been a victim twice where all passengers were robbed. I had great loss from that and have stopped using buses” | • P [2]: “I never use my mobile phone when I am in a less busy street. I will be caught by snatchers”  
• P [8]: “Even in public bus, I had been a victim twice where all passengers were robbed. I had great loss from that and have stopped using buses” |
| Missed an opportunity because of nepotism | • Despite of capability, unable to get at a good job.  
• Individuals without qualification get selected because of political backing.  
• “I have been a brilliant student, but a rich man’s son was more of a value than my talent”  
• “I can’t have rest in the day too as I have a lot of things to handle singlehandedly. My husband thinks it is only a mother’s responsibility to take care of the kid. He is only for breadwinning” | • P [10]: “Despite of a degree, I have lowpaid job. It is definitely suicidal for a graduate young man to be a driver, like me”  
• P [13]: “I have been a brilliant student, but a rich man’s son was more of a value than my talent” |
| Extortion or illegal demands of money by force | • To run a business safely and personal security, giving away money to political parties by force.  
• “Bhattas/Chanda” as locally pronounced to be given to local influential people in an area for various unrelated activities  
• “Making more money lawfully is also a trouble in this country. One has to give away it at once when demanded by influential people”  
• “Nearly a quarter of my husband’s salary goes in chandas (donation) which political party people collect by force in the name of good.” | • P [15]: “Making more money lawfully is also a trouble in this country. One has to give away it at once when demanded by influential people”  
• P [17]: “Nearly a quarter of my husband’s salary goes in chandas (donation) which political party people collect by force in the name of good.” |
| Lack of respect to females/ lack of empowerment | • Not taking input from females in important decisions of the family or their own life such as marriage.  
• Not allowing females to attain employment or even if allowed, not outside the area of their residence.  
• To bear maltreatment by males in virtue of good character of a female.  
• “When my husband bullies, I can’t even speak about it. It is not understood good in the society. A women’s character is her silence” | • P [3]: “I am never involved in making decisions for the family despite of being eldest because I am a female”  
• P [7]: “When my husband bullies, I can’t even speak about it. It is not understood good in the society. A women’s character is her silence” |
| Being a victim of state sponsored brutality | • Due to tussles between political/influential groups, common people being abused, beaten or even killed.  
• “My father had had an accident when two local groups were fighting in public with each other. He has a disability and cannot recover” | • P [2]: “My father had had an accident when two local groups were fighting in public with each other. He has a disability and cannot recover” |
Table 2  Themes and sub-themes describing stressful life events of urban adult population of Karachi (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Sub-themes</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lack of power supplies (electricity)            | • Unpredicted load shedding.                                               | "I wish to get electricity. This is a luxury. Utility bills are high yet seldom supply.
|                                                 | • Major electrical failure by electricity providing companies.            | "We have to pay high amounts of bills, for electric energy."
|                                                 | • Illegal connections built by others and lack of supply or increased cost to those who pay legally. | "Those who get electricity unconditionally at all times"
| Lack of fuel supplies (natural gas at home, CNG, petrol) | • Limited supply of CNG in week i.e. only 3–4 days. This implies long queue when supplied. | "Each time when CNG stations are closed, passengers are lost because of lack of fuel."
|                                                 | • Difficulties faced by daily wagers of public transport such as rickshaw and taxis. | "Daily wagers are facing problems for passers-by as well as to local residents."
|                                                 | • Low pressure or no natural gas for cooking which implies maintaining every day routine difficult. | "In rainy season, the city becomes a mess."
| Insecure living environment                      | • Abduction for money.                                                    | "I received a threat from an influential person."
|                                                 | • Shot in robbery.                                                        | "I was followed, inquired about and then threatened for well-being of family."
|                                                 | • Bomb blast incidences.                                                  | "Bomb blast incidences are very disturbing."
|                                                 | • Joblessness despite of qualification.                                   | "Bomb blast incidences are very disturbing."
| Lack of water and sanitation facilities         | • Waste collection and disposal practices are poor in general.            | "We live in a mess due to destruction of natural disasters."
|                                                 | • Overflowing drains and open stagnant water creating problems for passers-by as well as to local residents. | "We have to live in a mess due to destruction of natural disasters."
| Receives a threat from an influential person    | • Threat to life or harm to family in lieu of not doing their work or not giving them money. | "I received a threat from an influential person."
| Destructions due to natural disasters           | • Due to floods each year, a great monetary loss is faced by the sufferers. | "Due to floods each year, a great monetary loss is faced by the sufferers."
|                                                 | • Improper preparation of flood plan causes many lives to be lost including cattle which are a source of living for some. | "Improper preparation of flood plan causes many lives to be lost including cattle which are a source of living for some."
| Social stigma pertinent to middle aged unmarried females | • Society considers that unmarried females do not have a good character hence no one marries them. | "I have received a threat from a middle aged unmarried females."
|                                                 | • Stigma with respect to inability to give dowry.                         | "I have received a threat from a middle aged unmarried females."
| Direct experience of suicide bombing            | • Bomb blasts are traumatizing event both physically and psychologically.  | "Direct experience of suicide bombing is very disturbing."
|                                                 | • Disabled victims bear the burden of event for lifetime.                 | "Direct experience of suicide bombing is very disturbing."
|                                                 | • Damage of personal property and loss of loved ones in bombing.          | "Direct experience of suicide bombing is very disturbing."
| Get to know about Suicide Bombing event on news, neighbors, city | • Stress produced by repeated coverage of the event on the television.  | "Get to know about Suicide Bombing event on news, neighbors, city is very disturbing."
|                                                 | • Fear of future of children and loved ones.                             | "Get to know about Suicide Bombing event on news, neighbors, city is very disturbing."
|                                                 | • Feeling hopeless for betterment of country’s condition.                 | "Get to know about Suicide Bombing event on news, neighbors, city is very disturbing."

LMICs urban population. Through community participation during rating of events exercise, we were able to understand better the importance and impact of one life event as compared to other life events for e.g. death of a family member was given a higher score as compared to death of a close friend.

There are other approaches to measuring stressful life events such as the Brown and Harris approach where
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. #</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>LCU</th>
<th>My LCU</th>
<th>S. #</th>
<th>Home and family</th>
<th>LCU</th>
<th>My LCU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Unwilling change to a new type of work</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Shifting within same town or city</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Unwilling change in your work hours or conditions</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Shifting to different town, city or province</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>More work responsibilities</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Major change in living conditions</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>A demotion</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Unwilling change in family get-togethers</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>A transfer</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Major change in health or behavior of a family member</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Trouble with your boss</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Marriage</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Trouble with co-workers</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Other work related difficulties</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Miscarriage or abortion</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Retirement</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Adoption of a child</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Laid off</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Relative moves in with you</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Fired</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Spouse begins or stops work</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Took a course to help work</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Child leaves home for marriage</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>LCU</td>
<td>My LCU</td>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Child leaves home for other reasons</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>An illness or injury that kept you in bed for more than a week or sent you to hosp.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Arguments with spouse</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>An illness or injury that was less serious than above</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Problems with relatives/in-laws</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Major change in eating habits</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Parent’s divorce</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Major change in sleeping habits</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18.</td>
<td>A parent remarries</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Major change in your usual type or amount of recreation</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Separation from spouse due to work</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and social</td>
<td>LCU</td>
<td>My LCU</td>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Separation from spouse due to marital difficulties</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Change in personal habits</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Divorce</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Change in school or college</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Death of a spouse</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Change in political beliefs</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Death of a child</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Change in religious beliefs</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Death of a parent</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Change in social activities</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Death of a sibling</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Having a mentally challenged person in the family</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Girl friend or boyfriend problems</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Dealing with a child’s chronic illnesses</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Sexual difficulties</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>An accident</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Robbed/theft</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Falling out of a close personal relationship</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Missed an opportunity because of nepotism</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contextuality of the event is discussed in detail and gives further insight [24]. However, as an initial approach, without identifying even our basic life events, Brown and Harris's contextual approach becomes challenging as it requires great deal of expertise and time to conduct such interviews with each individual where researchers could rate the severity of stressors without being influenced with the nature and timeliness of the circumstances being explored [24]. Furthermore, considering the Pakistani context where access to community mental health facilities is difficult, identifying stress using this approach in such resource scarce setting raises concerns to its applicability [24].

The aim of the current study was to develop a community based screening tool (adapted RLCQ) rather than a sophisticated tool to measure stress in the context of Pakistani population. We have a rich resource of community health workers that is now beginning to be trained for mental health work at very basic units. From the sustainability point of view, it is much easier for community health workers to screen and identify high risk individuals based on this adapted RLCQ that consumes less time and professional expertise.

This adaptation study has certain limitations. As we interviewed participants they may have more readily shared events that they feel less stigmatized to talk about from a socio-cultural perspective. This takes into account listing stressors that are socio-culturally acceptable to discuss openly. For events that are stigmatized, such as rape, drug abuse or other such events that are social taboos, stigma would have introduced a reporting bias. Having acknowledged that, we still have noted serious issues of extortion, suicide bombing, and harassment that were reported in this study. For any country with socio-political instability, it is highly likely that new stressful events would occur in a short time frame that would affect consistency of the tool when used over longer time frames to quantify stress. Minor themes have not been discussed in this paper, as our aim of the qualitative phase was to explore stressors that reflect Pakistani population majorly so that we could include these events in our adapted tool. The applicability of the adapted RLCQ to an overseas Pakistani community becomes limited with respect to the change in environmental circumstances. Essential sociocultural values that are nurtured at early stages of life of every individual may still be applicable to Pakistanis residing outside the country however, environmental and financial stressors may differ. For instance they may face social discrimination while they may not encounter problems due to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stressors</th>
<th>LCU</th>
<th>My LCU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Major loss of income</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Investment and/or credit difficulties</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Loss/damage to personal property</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Major purchase</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Foreclosure or mortgage or loan</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Extortion or illegal demands of money by force</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Lack of respect to females/ lack of empowerment</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Being a victim of state sponsored brutality</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Lack of power supplies (electricity)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Lack of fuel supplies (natural gas at home, CNG, petrol)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Insecure living environment</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Received a threat from an influential person</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Destroyed due to natural disasters</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Social stigma pertinent to middle aged unmarried females</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Direct experience of suicide bombing</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Get to know about Suicide Bombing event on news, city, neighbors</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Financial LCU My LCU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
unavailability of water or electricity. Overall, the applicability of adapted RLCQ depends on the nature of the research as it becomes limited with respect to the change in environmental circumstances. Future research is planned to explore the validity of the adapted RLCQ in the study population and may additionally explore resilience and resilience boosting strategies at the community level.

Conclusions
Mental health in Pakistan needs integration in the existing infrastructure. This relevant tool can be utilized effectively in screening of individuals at the community level where effective interventions could be planned and performed for high-risk groups. Initiatives such as identifying social support groups, behavioral therapies and resilience boosting strategies could help communities draw strength for coping.

Additional file

Additional file 1: First draft of the adapted RLCQ. (DOCX 19 kb)
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