Functional outcomes and complications of total hip arthroplasty with dual mobility cup: An audit
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Introduction

Hip replacement has always being a challenging surgery for orthopaedic surgeons. Regardless of awareness about bone health, neck of femur fractures are on a rising trend in developed and developing countries.1 The implant to choose is very difficult at times. Conventional total hip arthroplasty (THA), bipolar hemiarthroplasty, and various other implants are available in femoral head replacement. Amongst so many implants Dr. G. Bousquet came up with a dual mobility implant.2 It has two articulation surfaces; one is between the shell and the polyethylene (external bearing) and between the polyethylene and the femoral head (internal bearing). Movement occurs at the inner bearing; the outer bearing only moves at extremes of motion.3,4 Dual mobility is used for increased range of motion (ROM) and this implant specifically has reduced the risk of dislocation.4 At various times hemiarthroplasty has been preferred due to lesser dislocation rate compared to total hip arthroplasty, However, THA has been shown to provide better functional outcomes, lower rate of reoperations, and less pain in some studies.1,5

The purpose of this study was to determine the functional outcomes of total hip arthroplasty with a dual mobility cup at our center.

Method

The project was started in January 2019, after obtaining approval from the Ethical review committee of the Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi. All patients who underwent total hip arthroplasty in our hospital were included. The mean postoperative hospital stay was 5.91±3.9 days. Mean pre-op Harris score was 33.7±7.6 and the post-op mean score was 75.9± 5.34. Eighty-three (39.5 %) patients had the neck of femur fracture, 31(14.8%) had osteoarthritis while 28(13.3%) had avascular necrosis. Post-surgery complications included, wound infection, surgical site haematoma, NSTEMI, and only one patient reported dislocation after use of dual mobility cup.

Conclusion: The dislocation rate which was the prime concern, has been reduced with the use of dual mobility implant in total hip arthroplasty patients.
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Results
The total number of patients was 210 with 114 females (54.3%) and 96 (45.7%) males. The mean age of the patients was $59.14 \pm 15.64$ years and maximum age was 90 years. Bilateral hip pathology was present in 22 patients and 188 had unilateral lesions. The mean postoperative hospital stay was $5.91 \pm 3.9$ days. Indications for surgery included, neck of femur fractures in 83, osteoarthritis of the hip in 30 and 28 had Avascular necrosis (AVN) (Table-1). Total 11 patients had post-surgery complications which also included non-surgical complications in one patient who had dislocation of the replaced Hip joints. Close reduction was done in 3 and one had to be taken to the operating room. Infection, surgical site haematoma and implant failure were the main complications reported. Only one patient had the non-surgical complication of NSTEMI (Figure-1). None of the patients had aseptic loosening and implant breakage. A total of 195 (94.9%) patients were discharged with full weight-bearing, 3 (1.4%) on partial weight-bearing, and 12 (6%) were on non-weight bearing ambulation and were allowed ambulation on the first follow up. The mean pre-op Harris score was $33.7 \pm 7.6$ [range (20-45)] while the post-op score improved with a mean of $75.9 \pm 5.34$ [range (70-92)]. The maximum follow up of patients was $2 \pm 0.495$ years with a minimum of 1 year. Only 5 patients were lost to follow up.

Discussion
Hip replacement has always been a challenge to orthopaedic surgeons. Various implants are available for hemiarthroplasty and total hip arthroplasties. The choice of the implant itself has to be a topic for debate over the past few decades. Dual mobility was introduced in 1970 and since then it has been very popular amongst orthopaedic surgeons. It has gained popularity due to dual articulating surfaces which has given the patient added advantage which the single articulation implants failed to provide. Dual mobility implant is famous due to reduced risk of dislocation, less impingement (Figure-2), lower friction and lower wear, increase range of motion and Intra-prosthetic dissociation.3,6-8

On the contrary, if a dislocation occurs, it is extremely difficult to reduce using closed techniques. Furthermore, dual mobility cups lack screw holes. As with all monolithic cups, the inability both to visualize the acetabula floor during impaction and to use screws, may compromise fixation.3 Various studies have compared dual mobility cup for total hip replacement with other implants. Conventional THA rates of dislocation ranging from 1.9% to 5.8%.9-12 The dislocation rate of conventional THA in revision surgeries are as high as 21%,13 When compared to a bipolar hemiarthroplasty, dual mobility has a reduced dislocation rate.14 Dual mobility has reduced the possibility of acetabular erosion related to a bipolar hemiarthroplasty. Bensen et.al compared dislocation rates and the difference was significant. This study determined the dislocation rate of Bipolar to be 14.6% and Dual mobility to be 4.57%.14 In our study dislocation rate was 0.5%. In the study by Langlais et. al, dual mobility cup showed a dislocation rate of 1.1% and infection rate of 2.35%.15 Our wound infection rate was 2.9%. Guyen et. al had a dislocation rate of 5.5% and infection rate of 5.5%.16 Philippot et.al had a dislocation rate of 3.7%. In cases of revision due to infection the dislocation rate was 9% and in case of instability arthroplasty revision 0%.17 In the latest study published by Justinas et.al, 2% required a re-surgery due to dislocation compared to other implants out of which 9% required re-surgery due to

Table-1: Total hip arthroplasty indications of surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Indication of Surgery</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neck of femur fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avascular necrosis (AVN)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic dysplasia of hip joint (DDH)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected hip implant</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Moore failure</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic arthritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure-1: Post-surgery complications. NSTEMI: Non ST Elevated Myocardial Infarction
In our study, 0.48% hip-operated patients had to be taken to the operating room and 1.43% were reduced manually under sedation. Many countries have increased the number of dual mobility cups like Lebanon has 88% steady and linear increase in usage of dual mobility cups from the year 2013-2017. In 2017 more than 60% of all total hip replacement implants were dual mobility cups. The same trend has been noted in France and the United States of America. Chahine et al. shows the mean Hip Harris hip score to be as high as 94.8 to 98.7 and our post-operative mean Harris hip score was 75.9 which is a fair score as per criteria. The reason for this figure could be some of the complications that are reported and sometimes patients do not respond properly in clinic.

**Conclusion**

Overall, the Dual mobility cup is better in terms of dislocation compared to other implants used for hip arthroplasties. The mean Harris hip score also showed fair results after surgery and patients’ ambulation was also improved.

**Recommendations**

We recommend a 5 years follow up prospective study to be conducted in our region to explain early and late functional scores and outcomes of total hip arthroplasty with the dual mobility cup.

**Limitations**

The limitations of our study was the retrospective data collection which could have flaws in the figures retrieved.
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**Figure-2:** Post-op X-ray of right hip total hip arthroplasty with dual mobility cup.