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Abstract
A study was conducted to determine the frequency of allergic rhinitis among healthcare professionals and its impact on their work at the Aga Khan University Hospital Karachi Pakistan. Healthcare workers including doctors, nurses, technicians and pharmacists were included. Participants were asked to fill a questionnaire consisting of score for allergic rhinitis (SFAR), and work productivity and activity impairment questionnaires. Out of 167 workers, 101 were males. Mean age of the participants was 29.0 ± 5.9 years. Overall prevalence of allergic rhinitis among healthcare workers was found to be frequency (19.2%). We noted that 13 individuals reported to have missed their work in the past week accounting to 4.3% of their work. When calculated with the actual work hours 35.9% of their work was reported to have been impaired due to this condition.
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Introduction
Allergic rhinitis is a common condition which is defined as an IgE mediated inflammatory reaction of the nasal mucosa caused by allergen.1 Common clinical findings include recurrent sneezing, rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, nasal itching and itchy eyes. These symptoms are bothersome and may cause disturbed sleep, daytime somnolence, reduced physical activity and work-related productivity.2 Allergic rhinitis may be seasonal, also referred to as Hay fever, or perennial.3 Seasonal form usually occurs due to allergy to pollens. Perennial form is caused by urban air pollutants, such as smoke from automobiles, factories and other sources. Other causes are dust mites, animal dander and mould spores.4-5 Strong fragrances are known to produce substantial levels of indoor air pollutants such as volatile organic products,6 which may cause rhinitis and airway irritation.7 Allergic rhinitis causes disturbance in the daily life of the person as well as reduction in productivity at work.8,9 A study estimated that the total direct and indirect expenditure made over allergic rhinitis was $5.3 billion per year.10 An analysis performed in 2011, determined that patients with allergic rhinitis had three additional office visits, $1,500 more in incremental healthcare cost and had nine more prescriptions filled as compared to those without allergic rhinitis.11

The symptoms of allergic rhinitis are often ignored by the patients as well as physicians, and individuals who are affected with this condition usually do not report their problem and do not seek proper and effective treatment.12 Multiple studies have been conducted to see the prevalence of allergic rhinitis in different regions. The prevalence of allergic rhinitis has increased across the world.13 In United States, prevalence of allergic rhinitis ranges from 3% to 19% with 30 to 60 million people affected every year. Eighty percent of the cases of allergic rhinitis are younger than 20 years of age.14 A study conducted in 2012 showed that 9% of children below the age of 18 and 7.5% of adults reported allergic rhinitis in the past 12 months.15 The European Community Respiratory Health survey recorded a prevalence of allergic rhinitis in adults around 10 to 41%.16 There may be a variation in the prevalence of allergic rhinitis within and among the countries as well.17

As the prevalence of allergic rhinitis is quite high, and due to its potential of causing significant impairment in quality of life and decrease in work productivity, many organisations such as American Lung Association,18 Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS),19 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Job Accommodation Network (JAN) and U.S. Department of Labour (DOL)20 have emphasised, formulated and adopted different policies for hospitals, public places and work places. Some of these emphasise on smoke and fragrance free workplace in order to reduce triggering of the symptoms of allergic rhinitis. Literature shows that healthcare workers may have an increased risk of allergy. Occupational allergy has emerged as an important health-related issue.21 Potential allergens affecting this group includes latex, disinfectants, sterilants, pharmaceuticals,
sensitising metals, aerosolised medications and cleaning products.\textsuperscript{22-24} Although worker’s health and productivity is affected by occupational allergic diseases, their effects are usually underestimated and are not given much importance by patients themselves. Literature is scarce when it comes to estimate the prevalence of allergic rhinitis in healthcare facilities. We conducted this study with the primary objective of determining the frequency of allergic rhinitis among healthcare professionals at a tertiary healthcare centre and how it impacts their work.

**Patients and Methods**

A cross sectional study was conducted at a tertiary healthcare centre from January 2018 to April 2018 after seeking approval from the ethical review committee. All adult individuals who were involved in providing healthcare to the patients at our institute including doctors, nurses, technicians, lab workers and pharmacists were included. Sample size was calculated through Epi Info Version 7.2.2.16. Based on the literature, expected frequency of 7% and 5% level of significance, the minimum sample size calculated was 100. By adjusting for 10% non-response at least 110 study participants were required to enrol in the study. We approached 167 individuals and all of them participated in the study and since all fell in the inclusion criteria we included all 167 participants. All those who were not able to read or did not give consent were excluded. After taking informed consent all the participants were asked to fill a questionnaire consisting of two parts. The questionnaire was designed in English and was filled by the participants themselves with the help of a trained resident (medical doctor). First part of the questionnaire comprised "Score for allergic rhinitis (SFAR)" assessment form. SFAR assessment form is a quantitative tool which has a score from 0 to 16. It is a validated tool to estimate prevalence of allergic rhinitis.\textsuperscript{25} Participants with score of 8 and above were considered as having allergic rhinitis\textsuperscript{26} and were asked to fill the second part which comprised "Work productivity and activity impairment questionnaire (WPAI)" which was used to see the impact of allergic rhinitis on work. WPAI questionnaire is an instrument to measure impairments in both paid and unpaid work.\textsuperscript{27} It has been validated to quantify work impairments for many diseases including allergic rhinitis, asthma, Crohn’s disease and inflammatory bowel syndrome.

Data was stored and analysed in SPSS version 25. Frequency with percentages was reported for the qualitative variables to describe the prevalence in the study population.

**Results**

A total of 167 healthcare workers were invited and all of them participated in the study. The mean age of participants was 29.0 ± 5.9 years. Majority of them were males 101(60.4%). Workwise 77 (46%) of the total participants were technicians (laboratory, operating room and radiology), followed by 40 (24%) nurses, 30 (18%) doctors and 20 (12%) pharmacists. Overall, 32(19.2%) had allergic rhinitis (Table 1). Women had a 2.2 times higher burden of this condition than males 18(28.8%) verses 21(12.9%) respectively (p = 0.011). Workwise the prevalence of allergic rhinitis was highest among doctors 10 (30%), followed by nurses 8 (20%), technicians 12(15.6%) and pharmacists 3 (15%). However this workwise difference was not statistically significant. The most common symptom in the participants with allergic rhinitis was sneezing followed by itchy and watery eyes, runny or blocked nose (Table 2). Majority of them 21(68.8%) reported experiencing these symptoms during winters and having allergy to dust 24(75%), smoke 16(50%) and perfumes 8(25%). The associated severity of the symptoms on a Likert scale of 1 to 10 is reported in Table 3. Out of the 32 patients with established allergic rhinitis only 7 (21.9%) reported no work loss. However, 13 (40.6%) reported missing work in the past week due to allergic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Interviewed</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 29</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>24(22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>32(19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>13 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>19 (28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technician</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>12 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacist</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3 (15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>32(21.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allergic rhinitis was defined as score ≥ 8 on SFAR\textsuperscript{*}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sneezing</td>
<td>31 (96.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watery and itchy eyes</td>
<td>29 (90.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runny nose</td>
<td>27 (84.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocked nose</td>
<td>26 (81.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
issues, accounting for 4.3% of their working time. In addition 38.5% of the participant’s regular daily activities were disturbed due to allergic rhinitis. Sixteen (50%) of the participants relied on minimising exposure to allergens. Majority of the allergic individuals 23(72%) believed that there should be an institutional policy for decreasing exposure to allergens at the workplace and 50% (16/32) wanted their workplace to be fragrance free.

**Conclusion**

Our study suggests a prevalence of allergic rhinitis in 19% of healthcare workers. Female healthcare workers were 2.2 times more prone to this condition. Allergic rhinitis is a bothersome condition and has a negative impact on work productivity of healthcare workers. Implementing preventive measures and paying attention to work environment can help in controlling allergic rhinitis and prevent the work productivity of healthcare workers. Implementing institutional policy for decreasing exposure to allergens is recommended. Sixteen (50%) of the participants were disturbed due to allergic rhinitis. Sixteen (50%) of the participants were using it on a daily basis. Majority of the allergic individuals 23(72%) believed that there should be an institutional policy for decreasing exposure to allergens at the workplace and 50% (16/32) wanted their workplace to be fragrance free.
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