



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

eCommons@AKU

Section of Pulmonary & Critical Care

Department of Medicine

April 2017

Raising taxes key to accelerate tobacco control in South Asia

Prabhat Jha
University of Toronto, Canada

Javaid Khan
Aga Khan University, javaid.khan@aku.edu

Sujata Mishra

Prakash Gupta
Healis-Sekhsaria Institute for Public Health, Mumbai, India

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_med_pulm_critcare

 Part of the [Pulmonology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Jha, P., Khan, J., Mishra, S., Gupta, P. (2017). Raising taxes key to accelerate tobacco control in South Asia. *BMJ*, 357(j1176), 1-4.
Available at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_med_pulm_critcare/57

Raising taxes key to accelerate tobacco control in South Asia

South Asian countries must prioritise higher tobacco taxation and other control measures to raise the low levels of tobacco cessation and thus avoid millions of premature deaths, say **Prabhat Jha and colleagues**

On current smoking patterns, tobacco will kill about 1 billion people worldwide this century,^{1,2} including substantial numbers in the South Asian countries. All South Asian countries have signed the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control and have committed to the United Nations (UN) sustainable development goals (SDG), including to reduce premature mortality from non-communicable diseases by one third by 2030.³ Achieving this goal will depend on effective implementation of the tobacco control measures set out in the framework convention.⁴ The most important of these is large increases in the excise tax on tobacco products.

Here, we mostly focus on initiatives to control smoking because smoked tobacco causes more systemic diseases and has higher overall risks for the user than other forms of tobacco use. We also briefly review the status of smokeless tobacco.

Methods

We examined nationally representative surveys of tobacco use in India, Bangladesh and Nepal⁵⁻⁸ and relied upon WHO reports on surveys done in the South Asian countries.⁴ To estimate the number of tobacco users, we applied the prevalence of smokers and smokeless tobacco users at ages 15+ in these surveys to the UN 2010 population estimates.⁹ To estimate the number of future smokers under 35 years, we applied the smoking prevalence at ages 25-34 to the UN 2010 population under age 25, and added

current smokers at ages 25-34. We applied the Indian ratios of smoking prevalence at ages 25-34 for countries where prevalence was not reported by age.⁵ To estimate the number of deaths from smoking, we assumed that half of the <35 smokers would be killed from smoking, as they are likely to face the full risks that have been recently documented among continuing smokers who start early in adult life.¹⁰⁻¹² However, if they stopped by age 40, the <35 smokers would gain back nearly all of the life lost from continued smoking.¹⁰⁻¹³ For current smokers above age 35 who quit, we applied age-specific reductions in risk for stopping at various ages, based on recent reviews.^{2,13}

Current patterns of smoking

Nearly 30% of males and only 4% of females aged 15 or older in South Asian countries smoke (table 1), with notable variation between countries. In 2010, most of the roughly 170 million adult smokers in South Asia were male and most lived in India. A substantial number of people in India smoke cigarettes and bidis (a small, locally grown and mostly unregulated form of smoked tobacco). In Bangladesh, Nepal, and Pakistan, most smoked tobacco is in the form of cigarettes. Smoking generally starts at a later age (about 25 years, although the mean age of onset in Nepal is about 18⁸) and with fewer daily cigarettes or bidis (weighted mean daily consumption of about 9, 8 and 7 in Nepal, India, and Bangladesh, respectively)⁵⁻⁸ than in high income countries, where most smokers start before age 20 and the mean number of cigarettes smoked a day is over 15.¹⁵ In India and Bangladesh, cigarettes have gradually displaced bidis,^{7,16} particularly among younger and illiterate males.¹⁶

Importantly, smoking cessation rates are quite low in South Asia. Cessation is reliably measured by the prevalence of former tobacco use as a proportion of the adult population at ages 45-59, when adults are most likely to want to consider quitting. Among men, the former smoking prevalence in India and Nepal is below 5%^{5,8,16} but higher in Bangladesh.¹⁷ In contrast, in high income countries such as the United States, the prevalence of former smoking is more than

the current smoking prevalence by age 50.¹⁰ Much of the cessation in South Asia, however, is because disease has developed and not to avoid the development of disease.

Consequences of smoking and benefits of cessation

Despite the relatively late age of starting smoking and the low number of cigarettes or bidis smoked a day, the hazards of smoking are considerable. In India, the nationally representative Million Death Study documented that smoking caused about a quarter of all deaths among men aged 30-69 in 2010.¹⁸ Similar proportions of deaths from smoking were observed in Bangladeshi men.¹⁹ Higher daily amounts of smoking are associated with increased risks of death compared with non-smokers (fig 1). Indeed, the loss of life among Indian male cigarette smokers¹⁸ is as extreme as now observed in prolonged smokers in high-income countries.¹⁰⁻¹² The proportion of deaths among adults caused by tobacco will rise in most South Asian countries because of increased rates of smoking and population growth.¹

Evidence from high-income countries^{1,2,10-12} and emerging evidence from China²⁰ reveals that, in contrast to the slow hazards of smoking, cessation is effective quickly. Those who stop smoking before age 40 avoid about 90% of the excess risk of continued smoking, and will regain nine years of life. Those who stop by the age of 50 and 60 years regain about six and four years of life, respectively.

Insufficient use of higher taxes for tobacco control

Comprehensive tobacco-control programmes include both price and non-price interventions and have been shown to substantially reduce tobacco use.¹³ However, South Asian countries show only modest levels of coverage of interventions to raise cessation.⁴ Increases in tobacco tax are the single most effective intervention.^{1,2,4,13} The International Agency for Research on Cancer reviewed over 100 econometric studies and concluded that a 50% increase in inflation adjusted prices reduces tobacco consumption by about 20%.²¹ Studies from many countries have verified the inverse relationship between price and consumption.²²⁻²⁶

KEY MESSAGES

South Asia has large and growing numbers of tobacco users and very low rates of cessation

Effective implementation of the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control, in particular its tax provisions, could reduce tobacco consumption by at least one third and save about 35-45 million lives

Non-price measures and registration and better regulation of bidi and chewing tobacco sectors are essential to complement taxation of cigarettes

Table 1 | Prevalence and estimates of current and future smokers and expected deaths in South Asia

Country	Total population aged ≥ 15 years (millions)	Reported prevalence of smokers aged ≥ 15 (%) [†]			Estimated No of smokers aged ≥ 15 in 2010 (millions)			Estimated No of future smokers among people aged 0-34 years (millions)			No of deaths in current and future smokers (millions)
		Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
India	851	24	3	14	107	13	120	96	5	101	51
Bangladesh	109	37	1	19	19	0.5	20	18	0.2	19	9
Pakistan	103	36	7	22	20	4	24	8	4	12	6
Others [‡]	47	26	4	15	6	1	7	6	2	8	4
All South Asia	1110	29	4	16	152	19	171 [‡]	128	11	140 [§]	70

*Smoking prevalence is from GATS 2009 for India⁵; from ITC Bangladesh Enumeration Phase 2-2012 for Bangladesh⁷; from WHO for Pakistan, Maldives and Sri Lanka⁴; from the World Tobacco Atlas for Afghanistan and Bhutan¹⁴; and from STEPS Survey for Nepal⁸;

[†]Other countries include Afghanistan, Bhutan, Nepal, Maldives, and Sri Lanka.

[‡]Of the 171 million smokers aged ≥ 15, about 100 million are aged 35 or older.

[§]Of the 140 million smokers aged < 35 years, 33 million are current smokers aged 25-34 years and 107 million are future smokers below age 25 years.




Figure 1 | Risk of death by amount and type, men aged 30-69 years in India and Bangladesh. Risk ratios are for smokers as compared with non-smokers, adjusted for age, education, chewing tobacco and, in India, for alcohol use.^{18 19}




Fig 2 | Contributions of specific excise taxes on tobacco and other taxes to the total cost per pack of the most sold brand of cigarettes in 48 high income countries, India, Bangladesh, and Pakistan. Prices are expressed in dollars adjusted for purchasing power parity (PPP)⁴

France, for example, tripled the price of cigarettes over 12 years and consumption fell from about six cigarettes per adult per day to three.¹ Thus, doubling inflation adjusted prices would be expected to reduce consumption by at least one third. About half of the reduced consumption is because people quit or do not start smoking and about half because people smoke fewer cigarettes. Price effects are greatest in the young and among poor people.^{13 21}

In South Asian countries, the cost of cigarettes, bidis, and chewing tobacco is low.^{4 27} In Canada, the UK and several other high-

income countries, about 60% of the retail price of the most-sold brand of cigarette is a specific excise tax, and WHO recommends that the excise tobacco tax should form 75% of the final retail price.⁴ Low levels of excise tax are the main reason for the price differences between high-income and South Asian countries (fig 2).

Taxation strategies need to consider high levels of taxation as well as their tax structure to effectively raise tobacco prices. The two major types of tobacco tax are *ad valorem* taxes (based on the price set by the manufacturer) and *specific excise* taxes (usually set at a fixed rate based on weight or quantity rather than the value of the product). Manufacturers can manipulate *ad valorem* taxes by altering base prices but have less influence on excise taxes. Excise taxes are simpler to administer and result in more predictable reduction in smoking and increase in government revenue.²¹

The main reason for limited tobacco control in South Asia, in particular the limited use of large tax increases, is opposition to the tobacco industry because of the considerable profits from tobacco (roughly \$10 000 [£8000; €9000] profit per tobacco death worldwide¹). Annual increases in tobacco tax have mostly been below the rate of inflation and income growth, so cigarettes remain affordable. In fact, the stock price of the cigarette industry has risen after ineffective budgets such as the February 2017 budget in India, which increased cigarette taxes by well below the rate of income growth.^{28 29} Variation in the tax rates, usually based on the different lengths of cigarettes, leads to price differences and enables smokers to change to cheaper brands or shorter cigarettes.²⁷ Furthermore, the sale of single cigarettes is common in South Asia, which reduces the effectiveness of tax increases.

The most effective non-price interventions include a complete ban on tobacco advertising and promotion, use of large pictorial warnings or use of plain packaging on tobacco products, and a complete ban on smoking in public places.^{1 2 4 13}

Implementation of these interventions is variable, but slowly increasing in the region.⁴ The use of plain packaging or prominent pictorial warning labels is particularly relevant given the high levels of illiteracy among tobacco users in the region. Nationwide epidemiological studies on the effects of tobacco control have been influential in shaping local debates and public understanding of the dangers of tobacco use.^{2 13 18} Such studies can also monitor the prevalence of former tobacco use at ages 45-59, which is a reliable measure of the effectiveness of tobacco control policies. Smoking cessation programmes are uncommon in the region. Most people who quit do so without physician advice, nicotine replacement therapy, or electronic cigarettes. Finally, South Asian countries spend little on tobacco control, despite the absolute costs of tobacco control programmes being very low.

Accelerating tobacco control

Given the substantial premature mortality from tobacco use, and the fact that reduction in the uptake of smoking by young people will mostly reduce deaths after 2050^{1 2} achieving the sustainable development goal³ will require a substantial increase in cessation. A report by the Asian Development Bank on five Asian countries, including India, concluded that increasing the price of cigarettes by 50% through excise tax increases of 70-122% would reduce the number of current and future smokers by nearly 67 million and reduce tobacco deaths by over 27 million in the five countries. Moreover, about \$24bn additional revenue would be generated annually.³⁰ The Philippines has set an example and increased tobacco taxes substantially despite opposition from the tobacco industry. By 2017, cigarettes are subject to a single unitary excise tax of \$0.70, which will further increase by 4% each year.³¹

Higher cigarette taxes do not necessarily encourage smokers to change to bidis, as the two markets are separate.²⁷ An increase in

Table 2 | Prevalence of current smokeless tobacco consumption in South Asia among people aged ≥ 15

Country	Smokeless tobacco prevalence* (%)			Current smokeless users (millions) in 2010		
	Total	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
India	33	18	26	145	74	219
Bangladesh	19	25	22	10	13	23
Pakistan	22	5	14	12	3	15
Others [†]	15	10	13	4	2	6
All South Asia	20	11	16	171	92	263

*Smoking prevalence is from GATS 2009 for India⁵; from ITC Bangladesh Enumeration Phase 2-2012 for Bangladesh⁷; from WHO for Pakistan, Maldives and Sri Lanka⁴; from the World Tobacco Atlas for Afghanistan and Bhutan¹⁴ and from STEPS Survey for Nepal⁸; [†]Other countries include Afghanistan, Bhutan, Nepal, Maldives, and Sri Lanka.

smuggling and tax avoidance has been raised as an objection to higher taxes. However, even with moderate levels of smuggling or tax avoidance, higher taxes reduce tobacco use and raise revenue.^{13,21} In fact, excise taxation can raise tax compliance and should help counter smuggling.^{4,21}

Reduction in mortality from a tripling of excise taxes

The benefits of reduced consumption are different for different age and smoking groups. We looked at current and future smokers aged under 35 (total of 140 million, of whom about 33 million are current smokers aged 25-34 and 107 million under 25 who have not yet started) and the 100 million current smokers over 35 (out of a total of 171 million smokers at ages 15 or more; table 1). Without large increases in the currently low levels of cessation, at least half of the cohort of 140 million young and future smokers would die because of smoking. At least half of these 70 million deaths would occur before age 70, losing many years of good life. (Smokers not killed by their smoking lose zero years of life, but those who are killed by smoking lose on average 20 or more years compared with otherwise similar non-smokers). Not starting smoking or complete cessation before age 40 would avoid nearly all of these deaths. A tripling of the excise taxes, designed in particular to decrease downward substitution from more expensive to cheaper brands, would likely reduce smoking in South Asia by at least one third.^{24,21} A one third reduction in tobacco use would reduce the cohort of 140 million younger or future smokers under 35 years by about 50 million smokers, avoiding at least 25 million deaths. The benefits of a one third reduction in the 100 million current smokers over 35 years depend on their age of cessation. Conservatively, such a reduction might avoid about 10-20 million deaths, most of which would be before 2050.¹²

Consequences and control of smokeless tobacco use

Over 260 million adults chewed tobacco in South Asia in 2010, including 92 million

women (table 2). Chewed tobacco products can be made industrially (eg, gutka in India or naswar in Afghanistan), by the seller (eg, betel quid), or even prepared by the user (eg, khaini or tobacco and slaked lime mixtures). Most chewed tobacco products are unregulated and can contain high levels of toxic and carcinogenic substances.³² Chewing cessation is also uncommon: below 5% at ages 45-59 in both India and Bangladesh.⁵⁻⁷ Trend results have been inconsistent, showing both increases and decreases in chewing.^{7,33}

The individual risk of death from chewed tobacco is lower than from smoked tobacco, but it is nonetheless a major risk to health in the region.³⁴ In particular, South Asia has among the highest rates of oral cancer in the world, and the incidence of oral cancer has risen sharply in some urban areas.³⁵ Importantly the risk of non-fatal oral cancers among quid users is higher in women than men, even though women chew fewer quid per day. This might reflect peculiarities in use—for example, chewing tobacco is common among older women and those of a lower socioeconomic status, and women tend to keep the tobacco in their mouths for longer than men.³⁶

Unlike the few registered cigarette manufacturers, most of which are part of multinational companies, the thousands of small bidi and smokeless tobacco businesses in South Asia are often controlled by non-transparent organisations that are not registered, regulated, or taxed.³⁷ Practical steps to regulate the bidi and smokeless tobacco industry involve registration and eliminating the tax differential between machine and hand-made bidis.²⁷ Until the bidi and smokeless tobacco sectors are better regulated, it will be difficult to impose large excise taxes such as for cigarettes. In the meantime, the use of prominent pictorial warning labels or plain packaging and restrictions on local advertising are likely to be the most effective methods of control.¹³

We thank Leslie Newcombe for editorial help.

Contributors and sources: This article is based on ongoing epidemiological and economic research conducted by the authors. PJ, JK, PCG have expertise in epidemiology, and PJ and SM have expertise in

economics. All authors have experience in evidence based tobacco control. PJ is the guarantor.

Competing interests: We have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare external funding from the Fogarty International Center of the US National Institutes of Health (No. R01 TW05991-01), International Development Research Centre (No. 102172), University of Toronto (CGHR support). PJ is supported by the Canada research chair programme.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Prabhat Jha, professor¹

Javid Khan, professor²

Sujata Mishra, professor¹

Prakash Gupta, professor³

¹Centre for Global Health Research, St Michael's Hospital and Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

²Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan

³Healis-Sekhsaria Institute for Public Health, Mumbai, India

Correspondence to: P Jha prabhat.jha@utoronto.ca

- Jha P, Peto R. Global effects of smoking, of quitting, and of taxing tobacco. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:60-8. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1308383.
- Jha P. Avoidable global cancer deaths and total deaths from smoking. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2009;9:655-64. doi:10.1038/nrc2703.
- Norheim OF, Jha P, Admasu K, et al. Avoiding 40% of the premature deaths in each country, 2010-30: evidence of national mortality trends to help quantify the UN sustainable development goal for health. *Lancet* 2015;385:239-52. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61591-9.
- World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2015: raising taxes on tobacco. WHO, 2015. http://www.who.int/tobacco/global_report/2015/report/en/
- International Institute for Population Sciences. Global adult tobacco survey: India report. Mumbai, India. Indian Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 2010.
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. *Global adult tobacco survey: Bangladesh report*. World Health Organization, 2009.
- Nargis N, Thompson ME, Fong GT, et al. Prevalence and patterns of tobacco use in Bangladesh from 2009 to 2012: evidence from International Tobacco Control (ITC) study. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0141135. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141135.
- Aryal KK, Neupane S, Mehata S, et al. Non communicable diseases risk factors: STEPS Survey Nepal 2013. Nepal Health Research Council, 2014. http://www.searo.who.int/nepal/mediacentre/non_communicable_diseases_risk_factors_steps_survey_nepal_2013.pdf.
- UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World population prospects: the 2015 revision. 2015. <https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/>
- Jha P, Ramasundarahettige C, Landsman V, et al. 21st-century hazards of smoking and benefits of cessation in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:341-50. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa1211128.
- Pirie K, Peto R, Reeves GK, Green J, Beral V. Million Women Study Collaborators. The 21st century hazards of smoking and benefits of stopping: a prospective study of one million women in the UK. *Lancet* 2013;381:133-41. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61720-6.
- Doll R, Peto R, Boreham J, Sutherland I. Mortality in relation to smoking: 50 years' observations on male British doctors. *BMJ* 2004;328:1519-33. doi:10.1136/bmj.38142.554479.AE.
- Jha P, MacLennan M, Chaloupka FJ, et al. Global hazards of tobacco and the benefits of smoking cessation and tobacco taxes. In: Gelband H, Jha P, Sankaranarayanan R, Horton S, eds. *Cancer: disease control priorities*. Vol 3, 3rd ed. World Bank, 2015:175-93. doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-0349-9_ch10
- Eriksen M, Mackay J, Schluger N, Gorneshtapeh FI, Drope J. *The tobacco atlas*. American Cancer Society, 2015.
- Ng M, Freeman MK, Fleming TD, et al. Smoking prevalence and cigarette consumption in 187 countries, 1980-2012. *JAMA* 2014;311:183-92. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.284692.

- 16 Mishra S, Joseph RA, Gupta PC, et al. Trends in bidi and cigarette smoking in India from 1998 to 2015, by age, gender and education. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2016;1:e000005. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2015-000005
- 17 Abdullah AS, Driezen P, Quah ACK, Nargis N, Fong GT. Predictors of smoking cessation behavior among Bangladeshi adults: findings from ITC Bangladesh survey. *Tob Induc Dis* 2015;13:23. doi:10.1186/s12971-015-0050-y.
- 18 Jha P, Jacob B, Gajalakshmi V, et al. RGI-CGHR Investigators. A nationally representative case-control study of smoking and death in India. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1137-47. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa0707719.
- 19 Alam DS, Jha P, Ramasundarahettige C, et al. Smoking-attributable mortality in Bangladesh: proportional mortality study. *Bull World Health Organ* 2013;91:757-64. doi:10.2471/BLT.13.120196.
- 20 Chen Z, Peto R, Zhou M, et al. China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) collaborative group. Contrasting male and female trends in tobacco-attributed mortality in China: evidence from successive nationwide prospective cohort studies. *Lancet* 2015;386:1447-56. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00340-2.
- 21 International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Effectiveness of tax and price policies for tobacco control: IARC handbook of cancer prevention*. IARC, 2011.
- 22 Guindon GE, Nandi A, Chaloupka FJ, Jha P. Socioeconomic differences in the impact of smoking tobacco and alcohol prices on smoking in India. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No 17580. 2011. doi:10.3386/w17580
- 23 Mushtaq N, Mushtaq S, Beebe LA. Economics of tobacco control in Pakistan: estimating elasticities of cigarette demand. *Tob Control* 2011;20:431-5. doi:10.1136/tc.2010.040048.
- 24 Arunatilake N, Opatha M. *The economics of tobacco in Sri Lanka. Health, Nutrition and Population (HNP) discussion paper. Economics of tobacco control paper, no. 12*. World Bank, 2003.
- 25 Karki YB, Pande BR, Pant KD. *A study on the economics of tobacco in Nepal. Health, Nutrition and Population (HNP) discussion paper. Economics of tobacco control paper, no. 13*. World Bank, 2003.
- 26 Nargis N, Ruthbah UH, Hussain AKMG, Fong GT, Huq I, Ashiquzzaman SM. The price sensitivity of cigarette consumption in Bangladesh: evidence from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Bangladesh Wave 1 (2009) and Wave 2 (2010) Surveys. *Tob Control* 2014;23(Suppl 1):i39-47. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2012-050835.
- 27 Jha P, Guindon E, Joseph RA, et al. A rational taxation system of bidis and cigarettes to reduce smoking deaths in India. *Econ Polit Wkly* 2011;46:44-51.
- 28 ITC, other cigarette stocks rally up to 4% as govt hikes excise duty marginally. *Economic Times* 2017 Feb 1. <http://economictimes.indiatimes.com/markets/stocks/news/articleshow/56912705.cms>
- 29 Jha P, Gupta PC, Guindon E, Mishra S. Analyses of 2017 Union Budget tobacco tax increase. 2017 <http://www.cgpr.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/Analyses-of-2017-Union-Budget-Tobacco-Tax-Increase.pdf>
- 30 Jha P, Joseph RA, Li D, et al. *Tobacco taxes: a win-win measure for fiscal space and health*. Asian Development Bank, 2012.
- 31 Kaiser K, Breidenkamp C, Iglesias R. *Sin tax reform in the Philippines: transforming public finance, health, and governance for more inclusive development*. World Bank, 2016doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-0806-7
- 32 Bhisey RA. Chemistry and toxicology of smokeless tobacco. *Indian J Cancer* 2012;49:364-72. doi:10.4103/0019-509X.107735.
- 33 Sinha DN, Rizwan SA, Aryal KK, Karki KB, Zaman MM, Gupta PC. Trends of smokeless tobacco use among adults (aged 15-49 years) in Bangladesh, India and Nepal. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2015;16:6561-8. doi:10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.15.6561.
- 34 Gupta PC, Ray CS, Sinha DN, Singh PK. Smokeless tobacco: a major public health problem in the SEA region: a review. *Indian J Public Health* 2011;55:199-209. doi:10.4103/0019-557X.89948.
- 35 Gupta PC, Ray CS, Murti PR, Sinha DN. Rising incidence of oral cancer in Ahmedabad city. *Indian J Cancer* 2014;51(Suppl 1):S67-72.
- 36 Singhal S, Gupta PC, Dikshit R, Jha P. Increased risk of coronary heart disease in female smokers. *Lancet* 2012;379:802, author reply 803. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60345-6.
- 37 Nandi A, Ashok A, Guindon GE, Chaloupka FJ, Jha P. Estimates of the economic contributions of the bidi manufacturing industry in India. *Tob Control* 2015;24:369-75. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-051404.

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2017;357:j1176
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j1176>