

Pakistan Journal of Neurological Sciences (PJNS)

Volume 9 | Issue 2

Article 9

4-2014

Radiosurgery: current recommendations for intracranial lesions and practices in pakistan

Hussain Shallwani Aga Khan University Hospital,

Sohaib Tariq Aga Khan University, Karachi

Muhammad E Bari Aga Khan University,Karachi

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/pjns Part of the <u>Neurology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Shallwani, Hussain; Tariq, Sohaib; and Bari, Muhammad E (2014) "Radiosurgery: current recommendations for intracranial lesions and practices in pakistan," *Pakistan Journal of Neurological Sciences (PJNS)*: Vol. 9 : Iss. 2, Article 9. Available at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/pjns/vol9/iss2/9

RADIOSURGERY: CURRENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INTRACRANIAL LESIONS AND PRACTICES IN PAKISTAN

Dr. Hussain Shallwani, Sohaib Tariq, Dr. Muhammad E Bari Department of Neurosurgery, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

Correspondence to: Dr. Muhammad Ehsan Bari, Section Head, Assistant professor, Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, Aga Khan University Hospital, PO. Box 3500 Stadium Road Karachi, Pakistan; Email: ehsan.bari@aku.edu; Tel: +92-21-3493-0051 Ext: 1022 Date of Submission: February 10, 2014, Date of Revision: May 5, 2014, Date of Acceptance: May 22, 2014

ABSTRACT

Background: Radiosurgery is defined as the use of high-dose ionizing radiation for precise and total destruction of a chosen target, avoiding concomitant or delayed harm to adjacent tissues. The three types of radiations include gamma rays (GammaKnife) by Cobalt-60, X8 rays using linear accelerator (LINAC) system, and charged-particles generated from synchocyclotron. **Recommendations:** Based on current evidence, radiosurgery has proven benefits as the treatment of certain brain metastases, vestibular schwannomas, meningiomas, and some skull base tumors. **Conclusion:** This review describes the current recommendations for use of radiosurgery for intracranial pathologies. Radiosurgery is a relatively newer modality in the developing country of Pakistan. Much work is needed to increase awareness and promote its use as and when indicated.

Keywords: Radiosurgery, Current recommendations, Pakistan

INTRODUCTION

The term "radiosurgery" was coined by Prof. Lars Leksell from Karolinska Institute in Stockholm. Sweden, He defined radiosurgery as the use of a high-dose ionizing radiation for precise and total destruction of a chosen target, avoiding concomitant or delayed harm to the adjacent tissues (1). He also described the use of stereotactic methods to develop the principles of radiosurgery in 1951⁽²⁾. Since its advent, radiosurgery has come a long way to become an indication for treatment of a number of intracranial pathologies. One of the types of radiations involved in radiosurgery included the gamma rays, and was termed Gamma Knife. Gamma knife involves placement of a Leksell frame around the head of the patient for stereotactic localization of the brain lesion. This is followed by closed skull irradiation in a single-treatment session. The frame is used to fix the head of the patient, as multiple gamma ray beams focus at the chosen target. Cobalt-60 is used as the generation source ⁽³⁾. Gamma Knife has evolved to become one of the indicated treatments for intracranial brain metastasis and vascular malformations ⁽⁴⁾. Another type of ionizing beam used for radiosurgery involved 1 the Linear Accelerator (LINAC) system to generate high-speed photons, which subsequently produce X-rays focused at a desired target lesion. The LINAC system avoids the use of fixed frame; the patient lies in a steady position while the LINAC head moves around to focus the target through different arcs⁽⁴⁾. The LINAC system has been developed

further for improved dose planning and dose distribution ^(3, 4). Moreover, since it does not involve a fixed frame system, its use is extended to lesions outside the cranial cavity ⁽⁴⁾. Charged-particle radiosurgery involve proton beams generated using synchrocyclotrons. Only few of these systems are available in United States, lacking popularity mostly because of its high installation and maintenance costs ⁽⁴⁾. The objective of this article is to discuss the current recommendations for use of radiosurgeryin intracranial pathologies. We reviewed the latest literature to define the indications and relative indications for radiosurgery, and identify the cases where radiosurgery is not recommended. This article is also the first attempt to highlight the current practices of radiosurgery in the developing country, Pakistan. We interviewed the providers of stereotactic radiosurgery in Pakistan, as well as a few physicians at a tertiary care center in Pakistan, whofollow the patients before or after radiosurgical treatment for their intracranial diseases.

INDICATIONS

Brain Metastasis

Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) has shown survival rates comparable to surgical resection followed by whole-brain radiotherapy ⁽⁵⁾. SRS can be used for single or multiple brain metastatic ⁽⁶⁾, for small lesions (less than 3-3.5cm) ⁽⁷⁾, for surgically inaccessible lesions ^(5, 7), and for radioresistent tumors like melanoma and renal cell carcinoma ^(5, 6).

Meningioma

SRS can be used a primary or adjuvant treatment for meningiomas that arise within the base of skull and have a high-surgical risk ⁽⁸⁾, SRS can also be used for recurrent skull base lesions ⁽⁹⁾. Better tumor control rates have been observed for benign meningiomas (WHOGrade I – about 95% at 5 years) as compared to atypical (WHO Grade II – 60% at 5 years) ormalignant meningiomas (WHO Grade III – 10% at 5 years) ⁽¹⁰⁾.

Vestibular 1 Schwannoma (Acoustic Neuromas)

SRS is indicated for solitary vestibular schwannomas that are less than 30mm in cisternal diameter. Better outcomes are reported in such patients ⁽¹¹⁾. Treatment success rates of 78.2% to 86.9% have been calculated even after considering 17% to 30% natural growth rate of tumors without intervention ⁽¹²⁾.

Nonvestibular Schwannoma (Nonacoustic Schwannoma)

Radiosurgery has shown good outcomes for trigeminal (CN V), facial (CN VII) and jugular foramen (CN IX, X, XI) schwannomas $^{(13, 14)}$; it can be used as a primary treatment for small to medium sized lesions, and as an adjuvant for residual lesions after large tumor resections $^{(13-16)}$.

GlomusJugulare

With good tumor control and lesser cranial nerve deficits (IX, X, XI) as compared to gross total resection $^{(17, 18)}$, stereotactic radiosurgery is indicated for elderly patients, for patients with serious medical conditions, and for residual or recurrent tumor $^{(19-21)}$.

Arteriovenous Malformations (AVMs)

Stereotactic radiosurgery has shown complete occlusion rates of 72-96% with small AVMs (up to 3ml) ⁽²²⁾. However, there is a notable risk of bleeding during the latent period following radiosurgery and before obliteration of the lesion. Management of larger AVMs continues to be challenging, and even though methods like Salvage Therapy (ST), Staged Volume Radiosurgery (SVR) and Hypofractionated Stereotactic Radiotherapy (HSRT) have been used to avoid higher complication rates ⁽²²⁾, multimodality treatment approach with embolization, microsurgery and/or radiosurgery is recommended ⁽²³⁾.

RELATIVE INDICATIONS

Pituitary Adenomas

Stereotactic radiosurgery may be used for small secreting pituitary adenomas that fail to respond to medical management, and when surgery is contra-indicated ⁽²⁴⁾. However, theremay be a delay before remission and/or side-effects of hypopituitarism ⁽²⁵⁾. Hypofractionatedstereotactic radiosurgery using cyber-knife may be used for non-functioning large tumors, to prevent neuroendocrine complications and visual pathway defects ⁽²⁶⁾.

Gliomas

Even with significant risk of radiation complications ^(27, 28), the use of SRS is implicated in the treatment of high-grade gliomas as a boost after external beam radio-therapy (EBRT), or for small focal recurrences ⁽²⁹⁾. However, the evidence is ambiguous and further research isneeded in this area ⁽²⁷⁾. For low-grade gliomas, SRS may be used as an adjuvant to surgical resection for residual or recurrent lesions, or for surgically inaccessible tumors ^(27, 30).

Craniopharyngioma

Stereotactic radiosurgery has a role as a primary treatment for small tumors (<3cm) (31), for recurrent lesions $^{(31-33)}$, for patients who are not good candidates for surgery $^{(31)}$, and for large tumors after surgical decompression/ debulking or in the hypofractionated form $^{(33-35)}$.

Cavernous Hemangioma

SRS may be used to avoid operative complications of excessive bleeding or damage to adjacent structures ^(36, 37). SRS may be a primary therapy for small to medium sized lesions confirmed on typical imaging ^(36, 38), or follow surgical resection for any residual tumor in cases of large hemangiomas ^(36, 38).

Hemangioblastoma

With a reasonable outcome of treatment, SRS has a role in management of small and solid hemangioblastomas ^(39, 40), and those that cannot be resected surgically ⁽³⁹⁻⁴²⁾.

Chordoma

SRS is implicated in the management of chordomas with reasonable local tumor control when used as an adjunct to surgical resection, for residual and recurrent lesions (43-46).

Chondrosarcoma

Duration of less than 6 months between diagnosis and radiosurgery, age greater than 40 years, and single or no prior surgical resection are the factors that predict good tumor control after stereotactic radiosurgery ⁽¹⁹⁾. Noting that, SRS may have a role as an adjunct in select patients after maximal surgical resection ^(19, 47, 48).

Trigeminal Neuralgia

Gamma knife radiosurgery has shown poorer pain control outcomes as compared to radiofrequency ablation or microvascular decompression ⁽⁴⁹⁻⁵²⁾. However, gamma knife radiosurgery avoids the operative complications ⁽⁵⁰⁻⁵²⁾, and 1 may be used for patients who cannot undergo invasive procedures ⁽⁵⁰⁾. Other problems associated with gamma knife radiosurgery include the delayed affect on facial pain and complications of numbness and paresthesia, especially radiosurgery is performed multiple times ^(49, 52).

Movement Disorders (Essential Tremors, Parkinson's Disease, Multiple Sclerosis)

Gamma knife thalamotomy may improve tremors related to Parkinson's disease and essential tremors ⁽⁵³⁻⁵⁶⁾. The problems associated with this treatment modality involve the delay in the clinical effect and the complications of sensory or motor impairments or speech difficulties that may manifest months after the procedure ⁽⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹⁾.

NOT RECOMMENDED

Brain metastases

Stereotactic radiosurgery is not recommended for lesions greater than 3.5-4cm in diameter ofthose associated with obstruction of CSF and hydrocephalus ⁽⁵⁾. Moreover, SRS should not be used for patients who score less than 70 on KarnofskyPerformance Scale (KPS) or have an uncontrolled systemic malignancy ⁽⁵⁾.

Arteriovenous Malformations (AVMs)

Radiosurgery after embolization of arteriovenous malformations has not been recommended in the past $^{(60-62)}$. However, recent data suggest that embolization before gamma knife radiosurgery may be used in some selected cases of large AVMs $^{(63)}$.

Meningioma

For lesions presenting with increasing neurological deficits, and for large superficial lesions, stereotactic radiosurgery has shown poorer outcomes and should not be used $^{(8, 9)}$.

Glioma

In case of newly diagnosed glioblastoma, SRS has no survival benefit if administered before fractionated radio-therapy $^{\scriptscriptstyle(27)}.$

Hemagioblastoma

SRS is not recommended for asymptomatic lesions $^{(41)}$, or those with cystic component $^{(64)}$.

INCONCLUSIVE 1 EVIDENCE (CONTROVERSIAL)

Cavernous Malformation

While, some studies have shown good outcome and regression of lesion after radiosurgery ^(65, 66), other studies have highlighted obvious morbidity risks with only limited benefits of this treatment modality ^(67, 68). Overall, the use of radiosurgery for cavernous malformation

remains controversial (66, 69).

Epilepsy

While stereotactic radiosurgery has shown benefits as a treatment modality for epilepsy due to focal lesions (tumors, arteriovenous malformations or cavernous malformations) ^{(70, 71),} there is a lack of evidence to adequately define its role in treatment of seizures secondary to hypothalamic hamartomas or mesial temporal lobe epilepsy; varying results of seizure control and complications have been reported (70, 72-75). In addition, there is a potential risk ofseizures in the initial months after radiosurgery, due to a delay in the anti-epileptic effect afterthis procedure ^(70, 71, 73, 74).

Movement Disorders (Bradykinesia, dyskinesia, rigidity)

Studies have shown varying improvement and complication outcomes after gamma knife pallidotomy for bradykinesia, dyskinesia and rigidity ^(57, 76-78). Further studies are needed for definitive recommendations ⁽⁵⁷⁾.

COMPLICATIONS

The complications of stereotactic radiosurgery could be of acute or chronic type, and maypresent years after the treatment. The risk of complications is dependant on the dose and target volume administered. Brain edema (79-81) and radiation-induced cerebral necrosis (radio-necrosis) (27, 80-83) are well-known complications of stereotactic radiosurgery, with a considerable risk of injury to the structures nearby (e.g. optic chiasm, facial nerve, etc.). Since there is a significant risk of such morbid complications, careful planning and administration of stereotactic radiosurgery is of utmost importance in any center where it is practiced. Moreover, vasculopathic complications such as aneurysm or varix formation, stenotic or occlusive vasculopathy, and development of secondary neoplasm have also beenobservered^(84,85).

PRACTICES IN PAKISTAN

Gamma Knife Radiosurgery

Gamma Knife Radiosurgery first came to Pakistan in May 2008 at the Neurospinal and Medical Institute at Karachi. It was shortly followed by the first LINAC system introduced at the same institute. Since its installation, 1700 cases of Gamma Knife, and 800 cases using the LINAC system have been performed. Majority of the procedures include Vestibular Schwannomas, Meningiomas, Pituitary Adenomas, Gliomas, and Arteriovenous-Malformations. Other cases such as Craniopharyngiomas, tumors of Pineal region, and Cavernous malformations have also been treated. Patients are mostly from Sindh (including Karachi), followed by Punjab and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. With the apparent advantage of shorter duration of treatment, and faster recovery, Gamma Knife is becoming increasingly popular among the patients. Nevertheless, its use must be limited to treat the pathologies as and when indicated. There is significant scope for further growth and development of Gamma Knife radiosurgery in Pakistan. Additional gamma knife systems, one in each province, would make this treatment more accessible for patients, and can improve the outcomes of diseases that are otherwise easily manageable in other parts of the world.

LINAC (CyberKnife) Radiosurgery

LINAC (CyberKnife) system was introduced in Pakistan even more recently than Gamma Knife. Patients' Aid Foundation, a non-governmental organization (NGO), helped install theCyberKnife system at Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center (JPMC) in Karachi in 2011. Sinceits installation, 905 sessions have been given to 269 patients from all over the country, and abroad. The treatment is offered for various lesions in the brain and the rest of the body. Majority of the patients come from Sindh (including Karachi), followed by the rest of the provinces.

The intracranial pathologies for which CyberKnife has been used include Vestibular Schwannomas, Meningioma, Brain metastases, Arteriovenous malformations, Craniopharyngioma, Pituitary Adenoma, Trigeminal Neuralgia, Giant cell tumor, Ependymoma, Glomus tumors, and others. Extracranial lesions in the prostate, lymph node, liver and spine are also treated with CyberKnife Radiosurgery. Initial follow-up results have shown good outcomes with the use of CyberKnife. However, a longer follow-up would be required for substantial conclusions. 9

Room for improvement

Stereotactic radiosurgery in Pakistan is a fairly recent treatment modality as compared to its introduction and use in the developed parts of the world; there is a significant room for improvement in this field to provide better healthcare for the patients. Stereotactic radiosurgery requires a multi-disciplinary approach to evaluate the patient's disease, and manage it according to the best possible evidence. Additional equipment with experienced operators is needed in various cities across the country to make this treatment modality more accessible and improve the quality of care provided by the involved healthcare personnel.

Similarly, a good referral system will improve the followup of patients undergoing stereotactic radiosurgery, providing a means to monitor and utilize Gamma Knife and CyberKnife as one of the treatment options for indicated lesions.

CONCLUSION

With the advancement in neuro-imaging, stereotactic technology, and robotics, radiosurgery has become one of the key modalities in treatment of various intracranial pathologies. This review describes the current recommendations based on the most recent literature. With the ongoing research to make tumor cells more radiosensitive and healthy cells more radioresistent, additional applications of radiosurgery can be anticipated. Nevertheless, stereotactic radiosurgery is not free from complications; it is very important to carefully evaluate individual cases in a multi-disciplinary setting before using stereotactic radiosurgeryas a treatment modality, and administer the radiation in a strictly controlled fashion.

Concurrently, as compared to the developed parts of the world, radiosurgery is relatively a new concept in a developing country like Pakistan; there have been only less than 6 years of experience in Gamma Knife, and 2 years of experience in CyberKnife procedures. There is a dire need to spread awareness and form a good referral system, so patients can benefit from radiosurgery as and when indicated. Moreover, additional equipment is needed to make thistreatment more accessible for patients and improve the outcomes of otherwise manageable diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr. M. Abid Saleem Consultant Neurosurgeon, Director Radiosurgery, Pakistan GammaKnife and Stereotactic Radiosurgery Center Neurospinal and Medical Institute, Karachi, Pakistan Prof. Dr. Tariq Mahmood Project Director, CyberKnife Unit Head of Radiology Department Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center, Karachi, Pakistan

REFERENCES

- 1. Larsson B, Leksell L, Rexed B, Sourander P, Mair W, Andersson B. The high-energyproton beam as a neurosurgical tool. Nature. 1958;182(4644): 1222-3.
- Leksell L. The stereotaxic method and radiosurgery of the brain. Actachirurgica. Scandinavica. 1951; 102(4):316-9.
- 3. Vesper J, Bolke B, Wille C, Gerber PA, Matuschek C, Peiper M, et al. Currentconcepts in stereotactic

radiosurgery - a neurosurgical and radiooncological point of view. European journal of medical research. 2009;14(3):93-101.

- 4. Niranjan A, Maitz AH, Lunsford A, Gerszten PC, Flickinger JC, Kondziolka D, et al.Radiosurgery Techniques and Current Devices. In: Szeifert GT, Kondziolka D, Levivier M, Lunsford LD, editors. Radiosurgery and Pathological Fundamentals. 20: Karger Publishers; 2007.
- Young RF. Radiosurgery for the treatment of brain metastases. Seminars in surgicaloncology. 1998; 14(1):70-8.
- Lippitz B, Lindquist C, Paddick I, Peterson D, O'Neill K, Beaney R. Stereotacticradiosurgery in the treat ment of brain metastases: the current evidence. Cancer treatmentreviews. 2014;40(1):48-59.
- Soffietti R, Ruda R, Mutani R. Management of brain metastases. Journal of neurology.2002; 249(10):1357-69.
- 8. Bloch O, Kaur G, Jian BJ, Parsa AT, Barani IJ. Stereotactic radiosurgery for benignmeningiomas. Journal of neuro-oncology. 2012;107(1):13-20.
- Kreil W, Luggin J, Fuchs I, Weigl V, Eustacchio S, Papaefthymiou G. Long termexperience of gamma knife radiosurgery for benign skull base meningi omas. Journal ofneurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry. 2005;76(10):1425-30.
- 10. Pollock BE, Stafford SL, Link MJ. Stereotactic radiosurgery of intracranialmeningiomas. Neuro surgery clinics of North America. 2013;24(4): 499-507.
- 11. Wolbers JG, Dallenga AH, Mendez Romero A, van Linge A. What intervention is bestpractice for vestibular schwannomas? A systematic review of controlled studies. BMJ open. 2013;3(2).
- 12. Miller T, Lau T, Vasan R, Danner C, Samy Youssef A, van Loveren H, et al.Reporting success rates in the treatment of vestibular schwannomas: Are we accounting for the natural history? Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neuro surgical Society of Australasia. 2014.
- 13. Hasegawa T. Stereotactic radiosurgery for nonves tibularschwannomas. Neurosurgery clinics of North America. 2013;24(4):531-42.
- 14. Pollock BE, Foote RL, Stafford SL. Stereotactic radiosurgery: the preferred management for patients with nonvestibularschwannomas? International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2002; 52(4):1002-7.
- 15. Kimball MM, Foote KD, Bova FJ, Chi YY, Friedman WA. Linear acceleratorradiosurgery for nonvestibu larschwannomas. Neurosurgery. 2011;68(4): 974-84;
- 16. Safavi-Abbasi S, Bambakidis NC, Zabramski JM,

Workman R, Verma K, Senoglu M,et al. Nonves tibularschwannomas: an evaluation of functional outcome after radiosurgicaland microsurgical management. Actaneurochirurgica. 2010;152(1): 35-46.

- 17. Guss ZD, Batra S, Limb CJ, Li G, Sughrue ME, Redmond K, et al. Radiosurgery ofglomusjugulare tumors: a meta-analysis. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2011;81(4): e497-502.
- 18. Ivan ME, Sughrue ME, Clark AJ, Kane AJ, Aranda D, Barani IJ, et al. A metaanalysisof tumor control rates and treatment-related morbidity for patients with glomusjugulare tumors. Journal of neurosur gery. 2011;114(5):1299-305.
- 19. Kano H, Lunsford LD. Stereotactic radiosurgery of intracranial chordomas, chondrosarcomas, and glomus tumors. Neurosurgery clinics of North America. 2013;24(4):553-60.
- Lieberson RE, Adler JR, Soltys SG, Choi C, Gibbs IC, Chang SD. Stereotacticradiosurgery as the primary treatment for new and recurrent paragangliomas: is open surgical resection still the treatment of choice? World neurosurgery. 2012;77(5-6):745-61.
- 21. Hafez RF, Morgan MS, Fahmy OM. The safety and efficacy of gamma knife surgery in management of glomusjugulare tumor. World journal of surgical oncology. 2010;8:76.
- 22. Jones J, Jang S, Getch CC, Kepka AG, Marymont MH. Advances in the radiosurgicaltreatment of large inoperable arteriovenous malformations. Neurosurgical focus.2007;23(6):E7.
- 23. Smith KA, Shetter A, Speiser B, Spetzler RF. Angio graphic follow-up in 37 patientsafter radiosurgery for cerebral arteriovenous malformations as part of a multimodality treatment approach. Stereotactic and functional neurosurgery. 1997;69(1-4 Pt 2): 136-42.
- 24. Kim W, Clelland C, Yang I, Pouratian N. Compre hensive review of stereotacticradiosurgery for medi cally and surgically refractory pituitary adenomas. Surgical neurology international. 2012;3(Suppl 2): S79-89.
- 25. Castinetti F, Brue T. Gamma Knife radiosurgery in pituitary adenomas: Why, who,and how to treat? Discovery medicine. 2010;10(51):107-11.
- 26. Iwata H, Sato K, Tatewaki K, Yokota N, Inoue M, Baba Y, et al. Hypofractionatedstereotactic radio therapy with CyberKnife for nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma: high localcontrol with low toxicity. Neurooncology. 2011;13(8):916-22.
- 27. Tanaka S, Shin M, Mukasa A, Hanakita S, Saito K, Koga T, et al. Stereotacticradiosurgery for intracra nial gliomas. Neurosurgery clinics of North America.

2013;24(4):605-12.

- 28. Elaimy AL, Mackay AR, Lamoreaux WT, Demakas JJ, Fairbanks RK, Cooke BS, etal. Clinical outcomes of gamma knife radiosurgery in the salvage treatment of patients with recurrent high-grade glioma. World neurosurgery. 2013;80(6): 872-8.
- 29. Sheehan J. Stereotactic radiosurgery for high-grade gliomas: available evidence and the need for further investigation. World neurosurgery. 2013;80(6): 814-6.
- 30. Henderson MA, Fakiris AJ, Timmerman RD, Worth RM, Lo SS, Witt TC. Gammaknife stereotactic radiosurgery for low-grade astrocytomas. Stereo tactic and functionalneurosurgery. 2009;87(3): 161-7.
- 31. Liubinas SV, Munshey AS, Kaye AH. Management of recurrent craniopharyngioma.Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia.2011;18(4):451-7.
- 32. Mortini P, Gagliardi F, Boari N, Losa M. Surgical strategies and modern therapeuticoptions in the treatment of craniopharyngiomas. Critical reviews in oncology/hematology. 2013;88(3):514-29.
- Niranjan A, Kano H, Mathieu D, Kondziolka D, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD.Radiosurgery for crani opharyngioma. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2010;78(1):64-71.
- Iwata H, Tatewaki K, Inoue M, Yokota N, Baba Y, Nomura R, et al. Single andhypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy with CyberKnife for crani opharyngioma. Journal of neuro-oncology. 2012; 106(3):571-7.
- 35. Veeravagu A, Lee M, Jiang B, Chang SD. The role of radiosurgery in the treatment of craniopharyngio mas. Neurosurgical focus. 2010;28(4):E11.
- Wang X, Mei G, Liu X, Dai J, Pan L, Wang E. The role of stereotactic radiosurgery incavernous sinus hemangiomas: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Journal of neurooncology. 2012;107(2): 239-45.
- 37. Chou CW, Wu HM, Huang CI, Chung WY, Guo WY, Shih YH, et al. Gamma knifesurgery for cavernous hemangiomas in the cavernous sinus. Neurosur gery. 2010;67(3):611-6;
- 38. Yin YH, Yu XG, Xu BN, Zhou DB, Bu B, Chen XL. Surgical management of largeand giant cavernous sinus hemangiomas. Journal of clinical neurosci ence : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia. 2013;20(1):128-33.
- Hanakita S, Koga T, Shin M, Takayanagi S, Mukasa A, Tago M, et al. The long-termoutcomes of radio surgery for intracranial hemangioblastomas. Neuro-oncology. 2013.

- Karabagli H, Genc A, Karabagli P, Abacioglu U, Seker A, Kilic T. Outcomes ofgamma knife treat ment for solid intracranial hemangioblastomas. Journal of clinicalneuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia. 2010; 17(6):706-
- 41. Asthagiri AR, Mehta GU, Zach L, Li X, Butman JA, Camphausen KA, et al.Prospective evaluation of radiosurgery for hemangioblastomas in von Hippel-Lindau disease. Neuro-oncology. 2010;12(1):80-6.
- 42. Kano H, Niranjan A, Mongia S, Kondziolka D, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD. The roleof stereotactic radiosurgery for intracranial hemangioblastomas. Neurosurgery.2008;63(3):443-50;discussion50-1.
- 43. Dassoulas K, Schlesinger D, Yen CP, Sheehan J. The role of Gamma Knife surgery in the treatment of skull base chordomas. Journal of neurooncology. 2009;94(2):243-8.
- 44. Liu AL, Wang ZC, Sun SB, Wang MH, Luo B, Liu P. Gamma knife radiosurgery forresidual skull base chordomas. Neurological research. 2008;30(6): 557-61.
- 45. Yamada Y, Gounder M, Laufer I. Multidisciplinary management of recurrentchordomas. Current treatment options in oncology. 2013;14(3):442-53.
- Ouyang T, Zhang N, Zhang Y, Jiao J, Ren J, Huang T, et al. Clinical Characters, Immunohistochemistry, and Outcomes of 77 Patients with Skull Base Chor domas. Worldneurosurgery. 2013.
- 47. Bloch O, Parsa AT. Skull base chondrosarcoma: evidence-based treatment paradigms. Neurosur gery clinics of North America. 2013;24(1):89-96.
- 48. Hasegawa T, Ishii D, Kida Y, Yoshimoto M, Koike J, lizuka H. Gamma Knife surgeryfor skull base chordomas and chondrosarcomas. Journal of neurosurgery. 2007;107(4):752-7.
- Elaimy AL, Hanson PW, Lamoreaux WT, Mackay AR, Demakas JJ, Fairbanks RK, etal. Clinical outcomes of gamma knife radiosurgery in the treatment of patients with trigeminal neuralgia. International journal of otolaryngology. 2012;2012:919186.
- 50. Lee JK, Choi HJ, Ko HC, Choi SK, Lim YJ. Long term outcomes of gamma kniferadiosurgery for typical trigeminal neuralgia-minimum 5-year follow-up. Journal of KoreanNeurosurgical Society. 2012; 51(5):276-80.
- 51. Linskey ME, Ratanatharathorn V, Penagaricano J. A prospective cohort study ofmicrovascular decom pression and Gamma Knife surgery in patients with trigeminalneuralgia. Journal of neurosurgery. 2008; 109 Suppl:160-72.
- 52. Zakrzewska JM, Linskey ME. Trigeminal neuralgia. Clinical evidence. 2009;2009.
- 53. Duma CM, Jacques DB, Kopyov OV, Mark RJ,

Copcutt B, Farokhi HK. Gamma kniferadiosurgery for thalamotomy in parkinsonian tremor: a five-year experience. Journal ofneurosurgery. 1998;88(6): 1044-9.

- 54. Kondziolka D, Ong JG, Lee JY, Moore RY, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD. GammaKnife thalamotomy for essential tremor. Journal of neurosurgery. 2008; 108(1):111-7.
- 55. Mathieu D, Kondziolka D, Niranjan A, Flickinger J, Lunsford LD. Gamma knifethalamotomy for multi ple sclerosis tremor. Surgical neurology. 2007; 68(4):394-9.
- Niranjan A, Kondziolka D, Baser S, Heyman R, Lunsford LD. Functional outcomesafter gamma knife thalamotomy for essential tremor and MS-related tremor. Neurology.2000;55(3):443-6.
- 57. Elaimy AL, Arthurs BJ, Lamoreaux WT, Demakas JJ, Mackay AR, Fairbanks RK, etal. Gamma knife radiosurgery for movement disorders: a concise review of the literature. World journal of surgical oncology. 2010;8:61.
- Lim SY, Hodaie M, Fallis M, Poon YY, Mazzella F, Moro E. Gamma knifethalamotomy for disabling tremor: a blinded evaluation. Archives of neurology. 2010;67(5):584-8.
- 59. Young RF, Li F, Vermeulen S, Meier R. Gamma Knife thalamotomy for treatment ofessential tremor: long-term results. Journal of neurosurgery. 2010; 112(6):1311-7.
- Pollock BE, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD, Maitz A, Kondziolka D. Factors associatedWith successful arteriovenous malformation radiosurgery. Neuro surgery. 1998;42(6):1239-44;
- 61. Andrade-Souza YM, Ramani M, Scora D, Tsao MN, terBrugge K, Schwartz ML.Embolization before radiosurgery reduces the obliteration rate of arteriovenousmalformations. Neurosurgery. 2007; 60(3):443-51; discussion 51-2.
- Miyawaki L, Dowd C, Wara W, Goldsmith B, Albright N, Gutin P, et al. Five yearresults of LINAC radiosur gery for arteriovenous malformations: outcome for large AVMS.International journal of radiation oncol ogy, biology, physics. 1999;44(5):1089-106.
- Izawa M, Chernov M, Hayashi M, Iseki H, Hori T, Takakura K. Combinedmanagement of intracranial arteriovenous malformations with embolization and gamma knife radiosurgery: comparative evaluation of the long-term results. Surgical neurology. 2009; 71(1):43-52; discussion -3.
- Matsunaga S, Shuto T, Inomori S, Fujino H, Yamamoto I. Gamma knife radiosurgeryfor intracra nial haemangioblastomas. Actaneurochirurgica. 2007;149(10):1007-13;
- 65. Niranjan A, Lunsford LD. Stereotactic radiosurgery

guidelines for the management ofpatients with intracranial cavernous malformations. Progress in neurological surgery. 2013;27:166-75.

- Liscak R. Radiosurgery of brain cavernomas--longterm results. Progress inneurological surgery. 2013;27:147-56.
- Bertalanffy H, Gerganov VM. Microsurgical or radio surgical management ofintracranial cavernomas. Actaneurochirurgica Supplement.2013;116:103 -6.
- Liscak R, Urgosik D, Simonova G, Vymazal J, Sem nicka J. Gamma kniferadiosurgery of brain caverno mas. Actaneurochirurgica Supplement. 2013;116: 107-11.
- Nagy G, Kemeny AA. Stereotactic radiosurgery of intracranial cavernousmalformations. Neurosurgery clinics of North America. 2013;24(4):575-89.
- Quigg M, Rolston J, Barbaro NM. Radiosurgery for epilepsy: clinical experience andpotential antiepi leptic mechanisms. Epilepsia. 2012;53(1):7-15.
- Quigg M, Barbaro NM. Stereotactic radiosurgery for treatment of epilepsy. Archivesof neurology. 2008; 65(2):177-83.
- 72. Yang I, Barbaro NM. Advances in the radiosurgical treatment of epilepsy. Epilepsycurrents / American Epilepsy Society. 2007;7(2):31-5.
- 73. Kawamura T, Onishi H, Kohda Y, Hirose G. Serious adverse effects of gamma kniferadiosurgery for mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Neurologia medico-chirurgica.2012;52(12):892-8.
- 74. Regis J, Rey M, Bartolomei F, Vladyka V, Liscak R, Schrottner O, et al. Gamma knifesurgery in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy: a prospective multicenter study. Epilepsia.2004;45(5):504-15.
- Quigg M, Broshek DK, Barbaro NM, Ward MM, Laxer KD, Yan G, et al.Neuropsychological outcomes after Gamma Knife radiosurgery for mesial temporal lobeepilepsy: a prospective multi center study. Epilepsia. 2011;52(5):909-16.
- 76. Duma CM, Jacques D, Kopyov OV. The treatment of movement disorders usingGamma Knife stereotac tic radiosurgery. Neurosurgery clinics of North America.1999;10(2):379-89.
- 77. Friedman JH, Epstein M, Sanes JN, Lieberman P, Cullen K, Lindquist C, et al.Gamma knife pallidotomy in advanced Parkinson's disease. Annals of neurol ogy. 1996;39(4):535-8.
- 78. Young RF, Vermeulen S, Posewitz A, Shumway-Cook A. Pallidotomy with thegamma knife: a positive experience. Stereotactic and functional neurosurgery. 1998;70Suppl1:218-28.
- 79. Lee CC, Yen CP, Xu Z, Schlesinger D, Sheehan J. Large intracranial metastatictumors treated by Gamma Knife surgery: outcomes and prognostic factors. Journal ofneurosurgery. 2014;120(1):52-9.

- Parkhutik V, Lago A, Aparici F, Vazquez JF, Tembl JI, Guillen L, et al. Late clinicaland radiological compli cations of stereotactical radiosurgery of arterio venous malformations of the brain. Neuroradiology. 2013;55(4):405-12.
- Samblas J, Luis Lopez Guerra J, Bustos J, Angel Gutierrez-Diaz J, Wolski M, PerazaC, et al. Stereo tactic radiosurgery in patients with multiple intrac ranial meningiomas. Journal of BUON : official journal of the Balkan Union of Oncology. 2014; 19(1):250-5.
- Minniti G, Clarke E, Lanzetta G, Osti MF, Trasimeni G, Bozzao A, et al. Stereotacticradiosurgery for brain metastases: analysis of outcome and risk of brain radionecrosis. Radiation oncology. 2011;

6:48.

- Telera S, Fabi A, Pace A, Vidiri A, Anelli V, Carapella CM, et al. Radionecrosisinduced by stereotactic radiosurgery of brain metastases: results of surgery and outcome ofdisease. Journal of neuro-oncology. 2013;113(2):313-25.
- Gross BA, Ropper AE, Du R. Vascular complications of stereotactic radiosurgery forarteriovenous malformations. Clinical neurology and neurosur gery. 2013;115(6):713-7.
- Patel TR, Chiang VL. Secondary Neoplasms After Stereotactic Radiosurgery. Worldneurosurgery. 2014;81(3-4):594-9.

Conflict of Interest: Author declares no conflict of interest.

Funding Disclosure: Nil

Author's Contribution:

Dr. Hussain Shallwani: Study concept and design, data collection, data analysis, manuscript writing, manuscript review

Sohaib Tariq: Data collection, data analysis, manuscript writing, manuscript review

Dr. Muhammad E Bari: Study concept and design, protocol writing, data collection, data analysis, manuscript writing, manuscript review