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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To determine the proportion of correctly diagnosed ureteric calculi and alternate diagnoses using unenhanced low dose multidetector CT KUB.
Design: Descriptive – prospective study.
Setting: Aga Khan University Hospital, Nairobi between November 2006 and October 2007.
Subjects: One hundred and four patients underwent low dose multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) KUB.
Results: Ninety (47 males and 43 females with age range of 17 - 79 years, mean 40 years) were included in the statistical analysis while 14 were excluded. Eighteen out of ninety (20%) were classified as definitely having ureteric calculi on the composite reference. Among the 18, 17 were correctly identified on unenhanced low dose MDCT, giving a proportion of (17/18) 94.4%, Pearson Correlation of 0.898 and Fishers exact test significance < 0.001. 29/90 (32%) had alternative diagnosis and 42/90 (47%) had no abnormality detected on unenhanced low dose MDCT.
Conclusion: This study shows that, unenhanced low dose MDCT KUB can be effectively used for evaluation of suspected renal colic patients as demonstrated by the statistically significant correctly detected ureteric calculi.

INTRODUCTION

Acute renal colic is probably one of the most excruciatingly painful event a person can endure. (1). The single most common cause of acute renal colic is an obstructive ureteric calculus. However it’s important to note that non-calculus and non-genitourinary conditions can present in a similar manner. Since renal colic presents as an emergency, a rapid diagnostic tool is required in such situations. Currently CT KUB has become the clear test of choice for imaging patients with suspected renal colic for a variety of reasons including its speed, non utilisation of contrast, high accuracy for diagnosis or exclusion of stone and determination of stone burden, size and location; assessment of obstructive effects of the stone; identification of significant alternative and additional diagnoses; utility in guiding appropriate patient management (2-4).

Unenhanced helical CT (CT KUB), originally described by Smith et al (5) in 1995, has been shown to be more accurate compared to abdominal radiography (6,7), ultrasound and has replaced excretory urography in the detection of urinary tract calculi in many situations (8). Therefore it has gained widespread acceptance among radiologists, emergency department physicians, and urologists.

However because of the associated radiation dose, various protocols have been studied in an attempt to reduce this dose and found to be equally accurate (2,3,4,9). Recent research focus has been establishing appropriate low dose technique. The purpose of this study was therefore to evaluate the diagnostic performance of a low radiation protocol in the patient population referred to our department, by comparing low dose MDCT KUB findings and clinical outcome(s).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and number of subjects: This was a descriptive cross-sectional study, where the unenhanced low dose MDCT findings were compared with the clinical laboratory surgical findings mentioned below as reference. Data was collected over a period of one year (November 2006 to October 2007). The Aga Khan University Research and Ethics Committee approved this study.
**Inclusion criteria:** Study subjects included all patients with renal colic and referred to the radiology department for CT KUB and prospectively followed up for eventual outcomes.

**Exclusion criteria:** Patients excluded from analysis were mainly those lost to follow-up.

**CT examination and interpretation:** There was no need of patient preparation apart from filling their bladder just before CT examination. Unenhanced scans meant no oral or intravenous contrast administered, which is also a key advantage over IVU. These scans were done using GE Light speed MDCT in supine position covering the region between the lung bases and pubic symphysis. Acquisition parameters included 120kVp and tube current reduced from 200 mA to 100 mA; 5mm thick source images and the 1.25mm reconstructed images. All CT examinations were interpreted on advantage workstation with 3D reconstruction and multipplaner reformation capability. Unenhanced low dose MDCT findings were categorised into:

i) Ureteric calculus detected.

ii) No ureteric calculus detected. This category included those with alternate diagnosis and negative scans.

**Methods of reference:** The subjects were followed up to determine if a ureteric calculus was present or by using a composite reference standard validated in previous studies (5,7,8). Ureteral stone was considered to be definitely present if it was surgically retrieved or fragmented with ESWL, depicted on subsequent imaging studies showing evidence of calculus migration or stone excreted followed by relief of pain associated with macroscopic and microscopic haematuria. Ureteral stone was considered definitely absent on CT findings of alternative diagnosis explaining patient’s symptoms, depiction of absence of ureteral stone or obstruction by subsequent imaging studies, negative microscopic urinalysis and relief of pain with no treatment and a laboratory-based alternative diagnosis (e.g., urinary tract infection), with complete resolution of symptoms.

**Sample size and statistical analysis:** Estimated sample size of 104, was calculated using the equation applied in descriptive studies designed to measure a characteristic in terms of proportion (10). This was on assumption that 90% of ureteric calculi would be detected with a confidence interval of ±10% and a significant p-value of 0.05. Analysis was carried out using Statistical Programme for Social Sciences (SPSS 15.0) to calculate proportion of correctly diagnosed ureteric calculus and chi square test.

**RESULTS**

One hundred and four patients underwent unenhanced low dose MDCT KUB, 90 (47 male and 43 female with age range of 17 - 79 years, mean 40 and SD 11.5) were included in the statistical analysis. Fourteen were excluded for not meeting the inclusion criterion. Eighteen out of ninety (20%) patients had ureteric calculi and 72/90(80%) did not have ureteric calculus on the reference standard (Table 1). Of the 18 patients with ureteric calculi, 17 were correctly identified on unenhanced MDCT KUB, giving a proportion of 94.4% (17/18) (Table 3).

Among the 72 without ureteric calculus on the reference standard, 70 did not have any ureteric calculus on unenhanced low dose MDCT giving a proportion of 97%. Twenty nine out of ninety (32%) had alternative diagnosis made on unenhanced low dose MDCT (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Composite reference standard findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ureteric calculus definitely present 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ureteric calculus present 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Unenhanced low dose MDCT findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unenhanced low dose MDCT KUB findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureteric calculi 19 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ureteric calculi Alternate diagnosis 29 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative scans 42 47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 90 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total number of positive unenhanced low dose MDCTKUB (ureteric calculus and alternate diagnosis) was 48/90 (53%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Unenhanced low dose MDCT KUB compared with reference standard with respect to ureteric calculi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>Definitely calculi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCT KUB Present 17 2 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent 1 70 71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 18 72 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1**
Axial and reformatted coronal images at 100mAs for a 39 year female with 10mm right vesicoureteric calculus with proximal hydroureter, hydronephrosis and perinephric stranding.

**Figure 2**
Axial and reformatted oblique views of a 49 year male with 7.5mm right ureteric calculus; this was confirmed by ureteroscopy.
Figure 3
A and B are LDCT axial images of a 58 year diabetic, demonstrating perinephric collection with air fluid level consistent with emphysematous pyelonephritis. C and D are post-surgical images showing improvement with drain in situ. Multi-drug resistant E. coli was cultured.

Figure 4
LDCT KUB axial images of a 54 year male demonstrating fine increased attenuation of mesenteric fat (arrows) consistent with mesenteric panniculitis possibly due to mild pancreatitis. He improved on conservative management.

DISCUSSION
The study demonstrates that unenhanced low dose MDCT is the preferred examination for evaluation of renal colic patients as evidenced by the high proportion of correctly diagnosed ureteric calculus 17/18 (94.4%).

An alternate diagnosis was detected in 29(32%) of the total patients. This has been reported to range from 30 to 38%. The spectrum of alternate diagnoses detected in this population is similar to that reported in the literature. These included among others: unilateral periureteric focal mass of retroperitoneal fibrosis, mesenteric panniculitis, breast vertebral metastasis and tuberculosis of spine presenting as renal colic. Though there were more patients with alternative diagnosis than those with ureteric calculus, CT KUB is considered positive if either is found. This further confirms the reversed trend noted by Chen et al (11) where a decrease from 49 to 28% in the rate of detected obstructing stones in the ureter and a corresponding increase from 16 to 49% in alternate diagnosis rate were documented. Though renal colic pain due to solely suspected ureteric calculi is the only justified
indication, Chen et al (11) argued that this reversal was due to the broadened indication for the CT KUB. This broadening of criteria to include non-specific lumbar pain leading to unenhanced CT may be also explained in part by the lower cost of the examination compared to the standard abdominal and pelvis CT with oral and IV contrast. Also noted was the increased awareness by the referring physicians of the value of unenhanced CT in finding an abnormality or establishing the source of the patient's symptoms.

The total number of patients with ureteric calculi in this study was however low (20%), largely due to poor patient selection and tendency to request for this examination for almost any patient presenting with loin or flank pain. These two factors have also been described in the literature (12). In addition the ureter has a similar anatomical innervation with other visceral organs which when diseased can cause renal colic pain; therefore it's uncommon to have confusion about the source of the pain both to the physician and patient in the emergency department.

There was no patient who underwent a high dose after the low dose MDCT KUB for any reason, which further emphasizes the fact that unenhanced low dose MDCT was satisfactory to the reporting radiologist in evaluation of renal colic patients in this study group. The other reason could be the post acquisition processing capability on the reporting workstation which enabled multiplaner and 3D reconstruction and therefore enhanced confidence during interpretation. Follow up standard CT abdomen was recommended in only 14/90(15%) mostly for the sole purpose of further characterisation and evaluation of an already suspected alternate diagnosis. Approximately 12% of the studies usually proceed to standard CT abdomen as reported in literature (12). One patient proceeded to have CT urography for what was discovered later as a malignant ureteric stricture.

The only parameter adjusted in this study was reduction of mA from an average of 200 to 100. Since radiation exposure is directly proportional to the mA this meant that radiation exposure and dose were reduced to a half, which was the major goal of the study. Radiation risk was therefore reduced without compromising diagnostic performance of the examination and final outcome of the results.

MDCT KUB protocol of 180 - 280mA (120-140kVp) (4) is considered to be the gold standard for evaluation of ureteric calculi; however it would be costly and unethical to radiate the same population twice. Finding of a single alternate reference standard all patients remains unclear as also noted by Douglas, et al (3). However surgical retrieval of the ureteric stone and actual visualisation of a passed sieved stone remain unchallenged outcomes but not all patients could be subjected to this. That is why a composite reference standard was used to validate the LDCT findings.

The advantages of this protocol over IVP include its speed, non utilisation of contrast to patients who may already have compromised renal function, high accuracy for diagnosis or exclusion of stone and determination of stone burden and size and location.

Single detector spiral CT protocol at reduced radiation dose can be used such as that Hamm et al (13) reported in their study with high sensitivity and specificity.

International Committee on Radiation Protection (ICRP) recommendation is based on the ALARA principle, which stands for “As low as reasonably achievable”. The outcome of the study also provides evidence that this reduced radiation dose protocol of MDCT, can be applied in African population presenting with renal colic without compromising diagnostic performance.

In this study, low dose multidetector CT KUB can be effectively used for evaluation of suspected renal colic patients as demonstrated by the statistically significant correctly detected ureteric calculi.
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