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SUMMARY

Idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis (IRF) is an uncommon entity described as progressive proliferation of connective tissues leading to a fibrous plaque-like lesions that encases the aorta and inferior vena cava inferior to the level of the renal arteries. Mass forming retroperitoneal fibrosis is rare. We present a rare case of a unilateral focal retroperitoneal mass simulating a tumour encasing the middle third of the left ureter, with involvement of the ipsilateral scrotum.

INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis (IRF) is an uncommon entity described as progressive proliferation of connective tissues leading to a fibrous plaque-like lesions that encase the aorta and inferior vena cava inferior to the level of the renal arteries. Mass forming retroperitoneal fibrosis is rare.

CASE REPORT

A 37 year old male presented at Aga Khan University Hospital accident and emergency department in July 2007 with a two-week history of intermittent sharp left flank pain with no radiation or aggravating/relieving factors. He denied any episode of fever, dysuria, frequency, urgency or haematuria. There was no known allergy or chronic medication history. A right inguinal lymphnode was excised at nine years, which was benign on histology. Examination revealed tender and rigid left flank muscles. Urolithiasis was queried and CT KUB requested.

CT KUB and abdomen: Unenhanced CT KUB (Figures 1 and 2) demonstrated a left iso-attenuating unilateral focal retroperitoneal mass encasing the middle third of the ureter and extending inferiorly along the psoas muscle (measuring crania-caudal 14.2 cm, anteroposterior 3.4 cm and transverse 5.6 cm) with proximal hydroureter and perinephric stranding. The peritoneum was otherwise clear and no calculus was seen.

On standard CT abdominal examination (Figures 3 and 4) the mass showed enhancement equivalent to the adjacent psoas muscle. The left perinephric stranding and hydroureter seen are due to the obstructive effect of the mass. A differential diagnoses included lymphoma, retroperitoneal fibrosis and sarcoma.

Biopsy and histology: The patient underwent CT guided biopsy and histological examination (Figure 5) confirmed the features consistent with retroperitoneal fibrosis. On clinical review he was found to have a left hydrocele that was confirmed on ultrasound. Both testes were normal (Figures 6 and 7). The patient thereafter had double J stenting and Jabouleys procedure for the ureteral obstruction and hydrocele respectively. Post operatively he was started on tamoxifen 20mg BD.
Figures 1 and 2
Axial sections of CT KUB demonstrating left retroperitoneal iso-attenuating mass abutting the ipsilateral psoas muscle with associated hydroureter and perinephric stranding

Figures 3 and 4
Axial and coronal enhanced CT abdomen re-demonstrated left periureteral mass that has enhancement equivalent to the adjacent psoas muscle
Retroperitoneal fibrosis is a rare disease entity with an estimated incidence of 1:200,000 population. Albarran reported the first documented case in 1905, and Ormond established the disease entity in 1948(1), explaining why it’s also known as “Ormond’s syndrome”.

Two thirds of retroperitoneal fibrosis cases are idiopathic. The remainder are due to an autoimmune reaction resulting from drugs (such as amphetamines and methysergide), haemorrhage, surgery, infection and abdominal aortic aneurysm. Approximately 8-10% of cases are due to a desmoplastic reaction caused by malignant infiltration of the retroperitoneum from primary tumours of the breast, lung, stomach, colon, kidneys as well as lymphoma and sarcoma (2). Systemic fibrosing diseases that have been associated with retroperitoneal fibrosis include fibrous pseudotumour of the orbit, Riedel’s thyroiditis, sclerosing cholangitis and mediastinal fibrosis (3).

Tuberculosis has also been associated with retroperitoneal fibrosis (2). Pathologically, IRF manifests as progressive inflammation and fibrous proliferation of connective tissue over the anterior surface of the lower lumbar vertebrae, below the renal arteries, encasing the inferior vena cava and aorta (4). Up to 15% have extra retroperitoneal foci (5). It characteristically causes medial deviation of the middle third of the ureter and rarely invades the ureteric wall, but if it does the mucosa is in most cases spared. Hydronephrosis is due to the absence

**DISCUSSION**

Figs 6 and 7

*Bilateral testicular ultrasound, demonstrating left sided hydrocele and normal testicular parenchymal*
of peristalsis, the ureters being fixed open by the disease process. The duodenum, biliary tract and pancreas are rarely involved (1).

Histological examination usually demonstrates fibrous overgrowth with accompanying inflammatory infiltrate of lymphocytes, plasma cells, and neutrophils, suggesting inflammatory rather than neoplastic disease.

Mass forming Idiopathic IRF, as in our case is very rare. To our knowledge only 18 cases including ours have been reported (Table 1). When seen it can be located any where in the retroperitoneum from the diaphragm to the pelvis (4). Figure 8 shows another example identified by the authors in 2002, demonstrating a left perinephric mass that was proven as retroperitoneal fibrosis and improved on tamoxifen. In these cases it is very difficult to distinguish the resultant mass from a retroperitoneal malignancy, especially lymphoma and retroperitoneal sarcoma.

The clinical presentation of IRF is non-specific consisting of dull, poorly localized back or flank pain, weight loss, anorexia, nausea or vomiting and malaise. There is significant morbidity due to progressive renal failure resulting from ureteral entrapment (1,4).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Tumour size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Chi</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lt. kidney</td>
<td>17 x 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Arai</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>5 x 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Stovall</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>15 x 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Muurata</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Rt. ureter</td>
<td>6 x 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Touge</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>4 x 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Oh</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Rt. kidney</td>
<td>19 x 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Kuwabara</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Bilat. kidneys</td>
<td>4 x 4, 3 x 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Tekeyama</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>5 x 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Haciyanli</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>6 x 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Koie</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>3 x 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Dejaco</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hepatic hilum</td>
<td>2 x 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Nakase</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lt. kidney</td>
<td>6 x 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Wong</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Rt. ureter</td>
<td>2 x 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Tsutomu</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Rt. adrenal</td>
<td>8 x 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Buyl</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lt. ureter</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Warakaulle</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Retrocrural</td>
<td>4 x 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Das</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Rt. ureter</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lt. ureter</td>
<td>14.2 x 5.6 x 3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intravenous urography may show the classic triad of delayed excretion of contrast material with unilateral (20%) or bilateral hydronephrosis, medial deviation of the middle third of the ureter and tapered narrowing of the ureters at L4/5 level (1). Ultrasound may show a relatively echo-poor retroperitoneal mass and hydronephrosis (6).

**Figure 8**

*Coronal CT showing a left perinephric mass and associated reduced size and enhancement of ipsilateral kidney*
CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the modalities of choice for imaging RPF. On CT, retroperitoneal fibrosis appears as plaque-like, soft tissue lesion of variable thickness that envelops the aorta and IVC between the renal hilum and sacral promontory, and extends laterally to entrap the ureters, resulting in variable degrees of hydronephrosis. It does not cause anterior displacement of the aorta and when this occurs, lymphoma or other widespread malignancy should be considered (1). The plaque is isodense to muscle on unenhanced CT. The early, active vascular stage shows significant enhancement, whereas the avascular later stage enhances very little. Calcification is rare and has been reported in only two cases of mass forming IRF (1,2).

MRI has the advantages of superior soft tissue contrast and multiplanar imaging. Haem sequences may also be used to detect retroperitoneal haemorrhage, as it is a potential differential diagnosis.

RPF is generally of low signal intensity on T₁ weighted image. On T₂ weighted image, there is high signal intensity due to high cellulularity and fluid content in the early active phase, while in the late fibrous phase signal intensity is low due to increased deposition of fibrous tissue (1). IRF usually enhances after intravenous gadolinium administration, except when in a quiescent stage (7). Imaging appearances of IRF can be mimicked by other conditions such as malignant lymphadenopathy, haemorrhage, infection and amyloidosis (3).

MR urography can be performed in the same setting whilst avoiding potentially harmful iodinated contrast media in patients with impaired renal function.

The other unique aspect in our patient was the associated ipsilateral hydrocele. Scrotal involvement has been described due to entrapped gonadal vessels and lymphatics that cause scrotal oedema (8).

Steroid therapy is effective for treating early stage IRF, as are other drugs such as cyclosporine, cytotoxic agents and tamoxifen. Ureterolysis or stents can be performed for ureteral obstruction (3).

In conclusion, a focal and unilateral mass forming idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis is rare, however it should be kept in mind as a differential diagnosis of retroperitoneal masses and image guided biopsy must be performed in such cases for definite diagnosis.
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